IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS PECOS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. 4:22-CR-000104

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

JOSE GOMEZ QUIROZ, )

Defendant. ) Thursday, June 23, 2022
8:22 A.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL

# BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID COUNTS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: United States Attorney's Office

BY: MATTHEW ELLIS, ESQUIRE WILLIAM CALVE, ESQUIRE

2500 North Highway 118, Suite 200

Alpine, Texas 79830

For the Defendant: Office of the Federal Public Defender

BY: JACK MEREDITH, ESOUIRE

108 North 10th Street Alpine, Texas 79830

Deputy Clerk: Cristina Lerma

United States District Court

410 South Cedar Street Pecos, Texas 79772

Transcription Service By: Dipti Patel, CET-997

Liberty Transcripts 7306 Danwood Drive Austin, Texas 78759

(847) 848-4907

www.libertytranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

3

4

141

153

158

162

163

165

167

167

#### INDEX PAGE Case called Jury Sworn Preliminary Jury Instructions Opening Statements By: Mr. Ellis 18 By: Mr. Meredith 22 124 Government Rests Defense Rests 130 Defense Rule 29 Motion 125/135 Court's Ruling on Rule 29 Motion 125/135

Final Jury Instructions

Jury Retires To Deliberate

Certificate of Transcriber

Closing Arguments By: Mr. Ellis

By: Mr. Meredith

End of Proceedings

By: Mr. Ellis

Verdict

	INDEX		D	
WITNESSES			<u>Page</u>	
FOR THE GOVERN	<u>IMENT</u> :			
ADRIAN ARMENDA	ARIZ			
Direct Examination by Mr. Ellis			25 59	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Meredith Redirect Examination by Mr. Ellis		73		
Recross-Examination by Mr. Meredith			81	
MICHAEL STALLA				
Direct Examination by Mr. Ellis			86	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Meredith Redirect Examination by Mr. Ellis			92 94	
Recross-Examination by Mr. Meredith		96		
MICHAEL PORTII	LLO			
Direct Examination by Mr. Calve			99	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Meredith			111	
NATE ANDERSON				
Direct Examination by Mr. Calve Cross-Examination by Mr. Meredith			114 119	
CIOSS EXAMITIE	action by Mr. Meredich		119	
FOR THE DEFENI	DANT:			
(None)				
EXHIBITS:		ID	EVD	
FOR THE GOVERNMENT:				
FOR THE GOVERN				
1 2 + h rough 12	Form 4473	29	31	
2 through 13 14	Case Agent Records Arraignment Record	43 54	43 55	
19	Invoice	103	104	
23	Stipulation of Fact	124	124	
	7 V V U •			
FOR THE DEFENI	JANI .			

4 Pecos, Texas - Thursday, June 23, 2022 (8:22 a.m.) 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 ---000---(Outside the presence of the jury; defendant present) 4 5 THE CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: All right. We've got everybody here, the 6 7 defendant here. Let's go to work. Let's bring the jury in, please. 8 9 (Jury in at 8:22 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Please wait to be seated. We're going to 11 swear you in and we'll all sit down. 12 (Pause) 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Now we're all going to be seated, and you all stay standing and raise your right hand, 14 15 please, to be sworn. 16 (Jury sworn) 17 THE COURT: Thank you. You all may be seated. 18 you. 19 All right. So as you're putting on your juror badges 20 before us, Mr. Lees (phonetic) is up front, right? 21 MR. LEES: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Scoffield, is that how you say it? 23 Mr. Green, Mr. Akins, good. Mr. Martinez, Mr. Ibarra 24 (phonetic). And then Ms. Harscott (phonetic), okay, very good. 25 Ms. Margado (phonetic), it's you. Very good. Mr. Leyva

5 (phonetic), Mr. Moore, Mr. Medina (phonetic), Mr. Huey, Mr. --1 2 I'm sorry, Leyva, Moore. 3 We have two Medinas. Are y'all related? 4 MR. MEDINA: No. 5 THE COURT: No. You had to look at him to make sure, right? 6 7 Okay. So you're Santiago Medina. And then you're 8 (indiscernible). Okay. And then Mr. Huey, Ms. Griffin. Okay. Very well. Thank y'all for being with us. 9 10 Great to have you. 11 So each time you come and go, we're going to do it in order so that you're not having to -- you know, if Number 2 or 3 12 13 comes in late, you know, there's no reason to come in late. 14 You'll have to step around people, so we'll come in in order. 15 We'll go out in order. 16 When we come in, we'll all rise for you because you're the judges of the facts in this case. And much like they do for 17 18 me, when the judge comes in, we rise. And so we'll be standing 19 when you come and go. And then whenever you come in, if you'll 20 stay standing, we will all sit down together and that way we 21 honor everybody who should be honored. 2.2 Thank you for being with us. I appreciate y'all coming 23 back. 24 Members of the jury, you now -- I'm sorry. We did 25 swear them in. Okay.

Members of the jury, you've now been sworn as the jury to try this case. You and you alone are the judges of the facts. By your verdict, you'll decide the disputed issues of fact. I will decide all questions of law that arise during the trial. And before you retire to deliberate at the close of the case, I'll instruct you on the rules of law that you must follow and apply in deciding on your verdict.

2.2

Nothing I may say or do during the trial is intended to indicate nor should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be. Your verdict should be based upon your independent assessments of the facts in this case as applied to the law on which the Court instructs you after conclusion of the case.

The evidence from which you'll find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents, and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts the lawyers agree or stipulate to or that the Court may instruct you to find.

Certain things are not evidence and must not be considered by you. Statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are not evidence. Objections to questions by lawyers are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make an objection when they believe the evidence being offered is improper under the Rules of Evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objection or by the

Court's ruling on it. If I sustain an objection, ignore the question. If I overrule an objection, treat the answer like you would any other. If you're instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

2.2

Testimony determined as excluded or I told you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You'll decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

We have two kinds of evidence, direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact such as testimony from an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I'll give you more instructions on these as well as other matters at the end of the case. Have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence, direct or circumstantial.

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or reject. I'll give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case, as well.

You should give careful attention to the testimony and evidence presented for your consideration during the trial, but

you should not form or express any opinion about the case one way or the other until only you've heard all the evidence, the closing arguments of the lawyers, and my instructions on the applicable law.

2.2

Exhibits which I have admitted into evidence during the course of the trial will be available to you for your inspection and review during your deliberation on the verdict. Under no circumstances, a written transcript of the testimony of witnesses can be made available to and for your review during your deliberations, nor under no circumstances can all or any significant portion of the witness's testimony be read to you once you commence your deliberation.

It's therefore very important that you pay strict attention to the testimony given by each witness during the trial of the case.

If you would like to take notes during the trial, you may do so. On the other hand, you're not required to take notes. Each of you should make your own decision about this. We've given you -- provided for you a little steno pad and a pencil, excuse me, and you're welcome to use that. You don't have to.

Some people will put them underneath the chair and never look down again. Some people will use them and I say "good morning" and they'll write it down. So that's just whatever makes you feel comfortable. Whatever you want to do.

You'll be leaving your notebooks in here in your chair

or underneath until I tell you to retire to deliberate the case.

And so you'll leave it here every time you come and go. You

won't be taking them with you.

If you decide to take notes, be careful not to get so involved in your notetaking that you become distracted from the ongoing proceedings. I speak from experience on this. Your notes should be used only as memory aids. You should not give your notes precedence over your independent recollection of the evidence.

If you do not take notes, you should rely upon your independent recollection of the proceedings and you should not be unduly influenced by the notes of other jurors. Notes are not entitled to any greater weight than the memory or impression of each juror as to what the testimony may have been.

Whether you take notes or not, each of you must form or express your own opinion as to the facts of the case.

During the trial, you must not discuss the case in any manner among yourselves or with anyone else. And you must not let anyone attempt to discuss it with you or even in your presence.

And insofar as the lawyers are concerned as well as others you may come to recognize as having some connection with the case, you're instructed that in order to avoid any appearance or impropriety, you should have no conversations whatsoever with those persons while serving on the jury.

Oftentimes, in fact, if you see me or someone on the court staff, we'll say hello or good morning and you can say hello or good morning back. A lot of times if you see the lawyers in the hallway, they'll maybe even turn their head away from you. That's not to be rude.

2.2

That's because they know that somebody at the other end of the hallway may not know that you're just saying hello. They may think it's some type of nefarious conversation. And so that's the appearance of impropriety we're trying to stay away from that we desperately try to do that.

You will notice the court security officers, these good-looking gentlemen -- well, most of them are good-looking -- in the navy blue jackets and the distinctive ties. They're here to help you, and they don't (indiscernible). If you have questions that you need to ask me or feel you need to bring something to my attention, you tell one of them and they'll get that information to me.

You may not attempt to conduct any independent investigation concerning this case. You must avoid reading any articles that might be published about the case now that the trial's in progress. You must also avoid listening to or observing any broadcast newspaper program, and these days that can be television, radio, or internet, right, because there's a possible mention by (indiscernible) case during such broadcast.

Do not research the case on the internet or post about

the case on social media. The reason for these cautions of course lies in the fact that it will be your duty to decide the case solely on the basis of the testimony and the evidence presented during trial without consideration of any other matters whatsoever.

2.2

At times during the trial I may be called upon to make rulings of law on motions or objections made by the lawyers. You should not infer or conclude from any ruling that I may make that I have any opinions on the merits of the case favoring one side or the other. I don't. If I sustain an objection to a question that goes unanswered by the witness, you should not speculate on what answer might have been given nor should you draw any inferences or conclusions from the question itself.

During the trial, it may be necessary for me to confer with the lawyers at times out of your hearing concerning questions of law or the proceeding that require consideration by the Court alone. Feel free -- if we have a bench conference or a sidebar conference, feel free to stand and stretch quietly during any such conference.

On occasion should I think a private conference may take a little bit longer, for your convenience and comfort, I may excuse you from the courtroom just while I discuss the matters with the lawyers.

We'll try to limit those interruptions as much as possible. But you should remember at all times the importance of

the matter you're here to determine and should be patient even if the case seems to go slowly at times. I really don't think that it will.

2.2

We'll take regular comfort breaks, and there are snacks and beverages in the jury room and all the restrooms. At ant time, however, that you feel you need to take an unscheduled break, simply raise your hand so that I can see it and I'll take a break at the soonest point possible thereafter. That typically means within the next few minutes or so. And if I don't see you, Ms. Lerma (indiscernible) and we're all -- she's talking to me and telling me we've got somebody with their hand raised.

I will tell you that several -- a few months back we had a lady that I normally would say, okay, I'm going to get to the next best time to break but she was getting ill. She was getting sick, and so you could tell from the fear on her face, the look on her face that she needed to go. And so we stopped everything immediately and got everybody out, got her out first.

And so if you need to do that, we're not here to -you're not being held captive, I promise. We'll make that as
comfortable and normally gets nauseous or ill.

During the trial -- I'm sorry. I don't think we have -- Mr. Ellis are there any interpreters that we're going to be using at all?

MR. ELLIS: Not for the Government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, anything from --

MR. MEREDITH: There may be for one witness --

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

2.3

happens.

MR. MEREDITH: -- Judge.

THE COURT: Very good. Good to know.

So we may require the service of interpreters.

Although someone who may be bilingual and fluent both in English and Spanish, you cannot allow yourselves to be distracted from your duties by assuming the task of interpreting. Do not try to second-guess the interpreters or attempt to render your own interpretation of the testimony you may hear if that in fact

As the trial begins, the lawyers for each side will be given an opportunity to make opening statements in which they may explain the issues in the case and summarize the facts they expect the evidence will show. First, the prosecution may make an opening statement which is again simply an outline to help you understand the evidence the prosecution expects to introduce. It's kind of like a roadmap, here's what the road ahead looks like.

And next, the defense attorney may elect to make an opening statement or he can defer his opening statement until after the Government rests its case in chief, should the defense wish to make an opening statement. Neither party is required to make an opening statement. If they do, they each get the same amount of time.

The Government will then present witnesses and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine them. Following the Government's case, the defense may, should the defense elect, to present witnesses, and the prosecution will have the opportunity to cross-examine those witnesses. Subsequently, the Government may decide to present rebuttal witnesses.

2.2

After all the testimony and the evidence has been presented, the lawyer will then be given another opportunity to address you and make their summations or final arguments in the case. The statements the lawyers are about to make now, which again are just statements as to what they expect the evidence to be, as well as the arguments they present at the end of the trial which is more persuasive argument summations are not to be considered by you either as evidence in the case which comes only from the witnesses and the exhibits or as your instruction on the law which will come only from me.

Nevertheless, these statements and arguments are intended to help you understand the issues and the evidence as it comes in as well as the positions taken by both sides.

Will the defendant and counsel please rise?
Ms. Lerma.

THE CLERK: In Cause Number PE:22-CR-104, United States of America versus Jose Gomez Quiroz, the Grand Jury charges Count 1, on or about December 23rd, 2021, in the Western District of Texas, the defendant, Jose Gomez Quiroz, in connection with the

acquisition of a firearm; to-wit, an American Tactical Import

Model M1911 semi-auto 22-caliber firearm, Serial Number A-907700

from DBA Morrison True Value, a licensed dealer of firearms

within the meaning of Chapter 44 Title 18 United States Code,

knowingly made a false and fictitious written statement to DBA

Morrison True Value located at 301 North Fifth Street, Alpine,

Texas 79830, which statement was intended and likely to deceive

DBA Morrison True Value as to a fact material to the lawfulness

of such acquisition of said firearm to the defendant under

Chapter 44 Title 18, and in that the defendant did execute a

Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and

Explosives, Form 4473, Firearms Transaction Record to the effect

that on Question 22.B, the defendant indicated --

THE COURT: I'm sorry 21.B.

2.2

THE CLERK: Oh, 21.B, the defendant indicated he was not under indictment for a felony when in fact he knew he was under two Pecos County, Texas felony indictments, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 922(a)(6) and 924(a)(2).

Count 2, on or about December 30th, 2021 in the Western District of Texas, defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz, who knowingly was under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, did willfully receive a firearm, that is an American Tactical Imports Model M1911 semi-auto 22-caliber firearm, Serial Number A-907700, said firearm having been shipped and transported in interstate commerce in violation of Title 18

```
16
      United States Code Sections 922(n) and 924(a)(1)(D), a true bill
 1
 2
      signed by the foreperson of the Grand Jury.
 3
                THE COURT: Counsel, how does the defendant plead to
 4
      these charges, guilty or not guilty?
 5
                MR. MEREDITH: Not guilty, Your Honor.
                           Thank you. You gentlemen may be seated.
 6
                THE COURT:
 7
                The Court will call on Mr. Calve, I believe, to begin
 8
      our opening statements.
 9
                MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government wishes to invoke
      the Rule.
10
11
                THE COURT: If there are any witnesses that are in the
      -- any witnesses?
12
13
                MR. MEREDITH:
                               They are out already.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. They're already out?
                              Yes, sir.
15
                MR. MEREDITH:
16
                THE COURT: Okay. Can we get them and bring in?
17
      they nearby?
18
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, they're her.
                THE COURT: Mr. Ellis, would you bring all yours, as
19
20
      well?
21
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.
2.2
           (Pause)
23
                            Mr. (indiscernible), let's have them all
                THE COURT:
      just come in all the way up here and let's have them all come up
24
25
      and line up across the front here.
```

17 Y'all, come on up anybody who's a witness. I noticed 1 2 there were other witnesses listed, more than this. So we have 3 others in the building? 4 MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So let's -- y'all come on up and 6 just line across here. Go all the way across, ma'am. Thank you. 7 Y'all sit tight for me or stand tight. We got some 8 more coming, I think. I hope. And y'all stare at me, please. I'll stare back. 9 Here come at least one more. Two more. Three more. 10 11 It's like a clown car, they just keep getting out. 12 (Pause) 13 THE COURT: And your case agent, Mr. Ellis, is he going 14 to testify? 15 MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Sir, if you'd come on up, as 17 well? 18 Yeah, just on over here. If everybody will please 19 raise your right hand. 20 (Witnesses sworn) 21 THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your hands. 22 of you has been sworn to testify truthfully in this trial. 23 Rule has been invoked which means you're not going to be in the 24 courtroom unless you're testifying. You're only going to be here 25 when you're testifying with the exception of the case agent.

Sir, you'll be at counsel table.

And you're not to speak with anyone about the case except for the attorneys for the duration of the trial. Don't talk about the facts even with each other even among yourselves. All right?

With that, I'll have all of you -- you're excused and you can -- except for you, sir. And we'll go from there. Thank you.

(Witness excused)

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Calve, you may proceed.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT

MR. CALVE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning.

This is a case about a lie and how the defendant told that lie so he could illegally receive a firearm. You see during this trial, we're going to show you that the defendant made a false statement in writing to deceive a local firearms dealer, and it's because of that deception that he received that gun even though he wasn't supposed to.

To show you that, we're going to take you back in time just a little bit to December of this past year. You're going to hear how at that time the defendant went to a local hardware store over in Alpine and he came in to buy a gun. You're going to hear how the store gave him what's called the ATF Form 4473

which is the form that they always give you when you go to purchase firearms.

2.2

You're going to hear about how on that form the defendant was asked a series of questions like have you ever been convicted of a felony, are you currently under indictment for a felony, and that once he filled that out, there's a process and what's called the NICS. You have to go through a regular criminal background check just to make sure that he hadn't been convicted of a felony or you're not currently under indictment for some felony and make sure that the store can actually give you the gun.

You're going to hear that where the form asked if you've ever been -- if you're currently under indictment for a felony, the defendant checked the box no saying no, he was not under indictment when in reality, he was under indictment for two felonies and he knew it.

You're going to hear that at that point, he signed the form giving his word certifying that all of the information he provided on the form was true and correct when it really wasn't.

You're going to hear that days later the defendant's criminal background check was still pending, it was delayed. And the defendant came back to get the gun from the store.

You're going to hear that again he falsely certified that all the information he had given over was true and correct and it's because of that deception that the defendant actually

received the gun at that time. And since he had checked no, the workers at the store didn't know that he was under indictment for a felony and they gave him the gun.

2.2

You're going to hear that it's at that point not long after that the defendant's criminal background check stated that he should have been denied because he was under indictment for felonies. And that's when special agents with the ATF began investigating just trying to find the defendant so that they could try to get that gun back.

But you'll hear that in their investigation, the ATF determined that it seemed like the defendant was evading them, that he didn't want to be found because he knew he made that false statement on the form and he knew that he was currently under indictment and shouldn't have been receiving firearms.

You're going to hear that the defendant was finally arrested, and the fun that he lied to get from the dealer was never found.

Now the Judge in this case has told you that -- has had the indictment read just a moment ago. You heard that the defendant is charged with two different crimes. You heard that the first one is making a false statement in connection with the purchase of a firearm.

That's because we're going to show you that the defendant in trying to buy that gun, he made a false statement on the paperwork that I've been talking to you about. He claimed

that he was not under indictment, but he was and he knew it for two different felonies.

2.2

And we're going to show you that he knew he was under indictment because in those cases, he had been indicted for he was signing paperwork to get bonds and he'd even appeared in front of the judge in connection with his indictment.

Now the second crime that the defendant is charged with today is illegally receiving a gun while under indictment.

That's because we're going to show you that the defendant did actually receive that gun even though he was under indictment and he knew it.

We'll show you that he knew it again through the use of those documents that he had in connection with his indicted cases. But even in spite of that, he illegally received the firearm. That's the second count that he's charged with in this particular case.

We're also going to show you that ATF once they started looking for him found that he seemed to be evading them, that he knew he was doing wrong and hadn't been supposed to get a hold of that gun.

We're going to show to you that both of those crimes were committed by this defendant beyond a reasonable doubt because, after all, this is a case like I told you at the beginning that's all about a lie and how the defendant used that lie to illegally receive a firearm. That is what the evidence in

this case is going to show.

2.2

And that's why at the end of this trial, we're going to come back in front of you and we are going to ask you that you find the defendant guilty on both counts in the indictment.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Calve.

Mr. Meredith, do you wish to make your opening statement?

#### OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE

MR. MEREDITH: Good morning, everyone.

I got to get my two different pair of glasses. I can't do bifocals, so I have to switch back and forth so I can see long distance and short distance.

Counsel for the Government is right and you already heard about what the charges are. There are two charges, the receipt of a firearm under -- while under indictment for a felony and making a false statement on a document to acquire a firearm while under indictment. That's the false statement that they're alluding to.

This is, just to be clear like what we talked about when we talked the other day, this is a federal charge. This is a federal prosecution; it's not a state charge. And the Government's lawyer is correct.

I'm sorry, how do you say your last name?

MR. CALVE: Calve.

MR. MEREDITH: Calve. Was right about the reasonable doubt part that we did also talk about that, as well.

2.2

And when we get through with the evidence and the Judge gives you the final instructions before you deliberate, he's going -- there's about eight, ten pages of things that he's going to tell you that you need to follow. And in the part about the guilty-not guilty part, there's the elements -- the parts of the offenses are broken down into different parts. They call those elements.

And there's going to be two or three or four or five different elements. And the one that I want you to pay close attention to, I mean a lot of things that Mr. Calve talked about are going to be presented. You're going to see some of that stuff. But I want you also to think about things that you don't see and pay particular attention to what Mr. Quiroz knew in terms of the evidence that's put forward both by the Government and by the defense.

This is a -- the reasons for the presumption of innocence and the requirement to prove every element beyond a reasonable doubt is important. And in the knowledge part of that, it has to be -- and I'm going to argue this that the Government hasn't met that burden, is that he had to know that he was under indictment and he had to understand what being under indictment meant.

Thank you.

```
24
                THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Meredith.
1
 2
                Mr. Ellis, your first witness.
 3
                THE COURT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
                The Government calls ATF Special Agent Adrian
 5
      Armendariz.
 6
                THE COURT: Agent Armendariz.
 7
                Mr. Ellis, you may proceed whenever you're ready.
                MR. MEREDITH: I'm sorry, Judge. Can we do some
 8
 9
      logistical moving stuff around?
10
                THE COURT: Yes, sir.
11
                MR. MEREDITH: So I can see.
12
                THE COURT: Can you all see?
13
                MR. MEREDITH: So I can be able to see --
14
                THE COURT: Absolutely. Whatever you need to do.
15
                You can move your chair around.
16
                MR. ELLIS: I can try and pull it back some.
17
                MR. MEREDITH: If you pull it back, it would be best,
18
      really.
                THE COURT: Just don't interfere with Mr. Quiroz's --
19
20
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Watch the cord.
21
           (Pause)
2.2
                MR. MEREDITH: That's good.
23
                THE COURT: All right. Very good.
24
                (Indiscernible), Mr. Ellis.
25
          ADRIAN ARMENDARIZ, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
```

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 25 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. ELLIS: 3 Good morning. Q 4 Α Good morning. 5 Can you state your full name for the record and spell it, 6 please? 7 Α Adrian Lopez Armendariz; last name is A-R-M-E-N-D-A-R-I-Z. 8 How are you currently employed? 9 I'm a special agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives. 10 11 And how long have you been with the ATF? 0 12 A little over six years. 13 Did you have to complete a formal training program to become 14 a federal agent with the ATF? 15 Yes, sir. Α 16 And what was that? 17 Α It was three months of a general investigators course and then an additional four months of specialized ATF investigator. 18 19 And when you say specialized ATF investigator, what kind of 20 crimes would that specialized training be about? 21 Firearms possession, explosives, and arson investigation. Α 2.2 Q Do you have any other law enforcement experience? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 And what was that with? 0 25 Α I was -- for over eight and a half years, I was a police WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

25

## Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 26 officer in Arlington, Texas. 1 2 So how many total years of law enforcement experience do you 3 have? A little over 15 or coming up on 15. 5 And can you briefly explain some of your job duties and responsibilities as an ATF special agent in this area? 6 7 I investigate various federal firearms violations to include 8 persons in possession of illegal or illegally possessing firearms, possessing machine guns, parts that make firearms 9 10 machine guns. 11 I investigate a lot of gun trafficking investigations where people purchase firearms for other persons and then traffic them 12 13 either to Mexico or move them to other -- and to other prohibited 14 persons. 15 Were you working as an ATF special agent on January 5th of 16 2022? 17 Yes, sir. Α And can you tell the jury how you became involved in this 18 19 case personally? 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 I was referred what we call an FBI NICS referral. What it 2.2 is is it's a report that states someone purchased a firearm that 23 may or may not be prohibited and it's forwarded for ATF to do 24 further investigation. And you said when they purchase it. Is there a NICS

Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 27 1 referral also if they attempt to purchase? 2 Α Correct. 3 Okay. 4 And what was this NICS referral specifically for that you 5 received on the 5th? It was for Jose Gomez Quiroz. 6 7 And what did he do? 8 The referral stated that Mr. Quiroz acquired a firearm from a dealer in Alpine, Texas called True Value Morrison or Morrison 9 10 True Value. The firearm was -- initially, the purchaser was 11 delayed and then the purchaser actually acquired the firearm 12 after the delayed timed out. 13 And what does that mean by he was delayed? 14 When a person acquire or attempts to acquire a firearm, they 15 had to go through an FBI NICS background -- background check. 16 They typically -- the three forms of processing for the FBI 17 NICS is you either get a proceed which is you run your background 18 and it's clear and you receive the firearm. 19 You get denied which means maybe it's something flagged in 20 your criminal history that says you -- there's -- your criminal 21 history reflects you cannot acquire -- you cannot acquire it. 2.2 And there's another one called delayed. Delayed is where 23 there's something in your criminal history that requires a little 24 more investigation and either FBI can clear it up or ATF ends up 25 clearing it up and seeing if the person is prohibited or not.

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 28 1 Q And in this case, did he FBI or the ATF clear it up? 2 It -- it was forwarded up to ATF to clear it up. Α 3 And that's how you received it? Q 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 And in your investigation, did you ever identify the subject that was in the referral? 6 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 And who was it? 9 Jose Gomez Quiroz. Α And did you personally identify him? 10 Q 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 And is he in the courtroom today? 13 Α Yes, sir. Could you identify him? 14 He's the male in the gray suit. 15 Α 16 MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government would have the 17 record reflect that the defendant was identified. THE COURT: The record shall so reflect. 18 BY MR. ELLIS: 19 20 And how did you identify him? 21 I matched up the driver's license information that was on 22 the form he used, Mr. Quiroz used to complete the form to acquire 23 the firearm and with the driver's license I queried or I received 24 through NCIC. 25 And when you say the form, what form is that?

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 29 It's called the Firearm Transfer Record and we -- and ATF 1 2 referred to it as the ATF Form 4473. 3 I would like to direct you to the exhibit folder in front of Q 4 you to Exhibit Number 1. 5 Yes, sir. 6 Do you recognize that? Q 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 You might have to pull it out of the plastic sleeve --9 Α Yes, sir. -- that you're holding. 10 Q 11 Α Gotcha. And if you will just flip through it and take a look at it 12 13 and let me know when you're finished looking at it. 14 (Reviewing document) Α 15 Yes, sir. 16 Do you recognize that? 17 Α Yes, sir. What is it? 18 The first page is a certificate of authenticity or domestic 19 20 records that was filled out by the custodian of record of the 21 True Value Morrison that was the gun store in which the firearm 22 was acquired. 23 All right. So that's the first page. What is also with 24 that page? 25 Α The second page is -- is the firearm transfer record, also

## Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 30 known as the 4473. 1 2 All right. And was this record made at or near the time in 3 which the form was completed by someone with personal knowledge 4 during the transaction? 5 Yes, sir. 6 And was the record kept in the course of a regularly 7 conducted activity of a business? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 And was this record a regular practice of this activity? Α 10 Yes, sir. 11 And based on your training and experience as an ATF special 12 agent, are federal licensed firearm dealers required to keep 13 these forms in the regular course of their business? 14 Yes, sir. 15 And do you have personal knowledge as to who filled this 16 certificate out? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 And who was that? 19 Steve Lazaba (phonetic). 20 And how do you have personal knowledge of him filling it 21 out? 22 Α I was present when he signed and provided me the form. 23 MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government moves to admit 24 Government's Exhibit 1 into evidence. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                       31
 1
                MR. MEREDITH:
                               No objection.
                THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 1 is admitted without
 2
 3
      objection.
 4
           (Government's Exhibit 1 admitted into evidence)
 5
                MR. ELLIS: We'd ask to publish it to the jury.
 6
                THE COURT: Yes, sir. You may.
 7
      BY MR. ELLIS:
           I want to direct you to the ATF form.
 8
 9
      Α
           Yes, sir.
10
           What question was it that you stated -- well, I don't think
11
      you have stated it yet.
12
           Which question was called into question on this form?
13
      Α
           Question 21.B.
14
           Okay.
15
                MR. ELLIS: Could we turn to Page 2. It would be right
16
      there.
17
      BY MR. ELLIS:
18
           All right. I'd like to direct you to Question 21.B.
19
      Α
           Yes, sir.
20
           Are you able to read it?
21
      Α
           Yes, sir.
22
      Q
           Can you read what Question 21.B asks?
23
           "Are you under indictment or information in any court for a
      felony or any other crime for which the judge could imprison you
24
25
      for more than one year or under -- or are you a current member of
```

## Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 32 1 the military who has been charged with violations of the Uniform 2 Code of Military Justice, and whose charges have been referred to 3 a General Court Martial." 4 Now see, there's two boxes to the right. One looks like 5 it's for yes, one looks like it's for no. Which box is checked? Α No. No. 6 7 Okay. And what does that mean to you? 8 The person identified that they were not under felony indictment. 9 Okay. What if anything did you do after receiving this NICS 10 11 referral and this copy of the 4473 to verify the information provided? 12 13 I contacted -- I contacted the gun dealer and asked if they 14 had any additional phone numbers in order to contact the person 15 who completed the form which is Mr. Quiroz. And they provided me 16 several numbers in order to contact Mr. Ouiroz. 17 Was there anything else that you did? Did you review 18 anything about the defendant? 19 I requested court records from the county to see if when you 20 receive the referral, it provided -- it provided the indictments 21 -- the indictment information for which he was -- he was flagged 2.2 for being possibly prohibited. So I contacted that Court and 23 asked for any and all records showing if Mr. Quiroz was under

## WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

indictment or convicted of any felon currently -- or convicted of

24

25

any felonies.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

# Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 33 What information did you receive? I received copies of indictments out of the Pecos County showing that Mr. Quiroz was indicted for burglary of a habitation, felony burglary of a habitation and felony bail jumping, failure to appear. And when you say that you made contact with the True Value store, did you ascertain whether they were a licensed firearm dealer at the time? Yes, sir. We have access to our licensing system, our federal firearms licensee system. We can see if they're a licensed dealer. And at the time, he was a licensed dealer. All right. And when you reached out and spoke with the True Value store, who did you speak with? I spoke to a Mr. Portillo who was the actual person who was present when Mr. Quiroz completed the form, and was the employee who actually transferred the firearm to Mr. Quiroz. And did you do anything to verify if the defendant was the actual applicant present at the time that the form was filled out? We conducted -- several days later, we conducted a photo lineup with Mr. Portillo. And Mr. Portillo identified Mr. -- the photo of Mr. Quiroz as the purchaser. What if anything did you do during the photo lineup? I provided -- prior to the photo lineup, I provided instruction on how to perform the lineup, how it was going to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 34 performed to both Mr. Portillo and to the blind administrator. So after you conducted the photo lineup and meeting with Mr. Portillo, did you make any attempts to contact the defendant regarding this case? Yes, sir. And what actions did you do to try to make contact? I made two attempts on two separate days to make contact with Mr. Ouiroz. I went to the address that was listed on the 4473, made contact with his mother who stated that he lived, Mr. Quiroz lived in the back portion of the property. We knocked on -- on the trailer in which she identified as being his residence, then we -- there's some other RVs that were parked in the back of the property. We knocked on all those doors to see if Mr. Quiroz was present. We made contact with -- on both occasions, we made contact with persons that were living in one of the RVs in the back, but were never able to get in contact with Mr. Quiroz. Each time I presented a -- I left a business card with the persons I contacted asking that they would call -- asking to give to Mr. Ouiroz or ask him to tell him to call me back so we can discuss his -- the violation. Q So I believe you just stated both times. Α Yes, sir. So did you go to his residence more than once? Α Yes, sir.

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis

Q And do you recall speaking to anyone at the residence?

A Yes, sir. The first time we made contact with his mother.

A female identified herself as his mother, and then I gave her a

business card. I explained to her that to please have him --

have Mr. Quiroz call me. And then the second time we met with

the mother again, and I asked her if she had received -- I asked

her if she -- if she had spoke to her son. She said she had.

And then she said that -- that he had the card that I had left.

And then the first time I made contact with the subject that refused to open the door in one of the RVs. I asked -- told him I was going to leave a business card on his door, to please tell him to give it to him. And as he was yelling out the RV, he said yes. Jose lives there in the big trailer. And he agreed that he would give him the card.

The second time we met another subject who is standing outside. And he again said yeah, Quiroz lives in the back, or in the same trailer. And we gave him a card and said please give -- tell Mr. Quiroz to call or contact us.

- Q So based on your training and experience after multiple attempts by telephone and in-person visits, and leaving your business card, what did that indicate to you?
- A That he was avoiding a law enforcement contact.
- Q And what if anything at that point did you do?
- A We spoke with the local law enforcement. They were with us when we were -- each time we would knock on the door. They were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 36 familiar with Mr. Quiroz. And I asked them if they knew any other addresses that we could go to to knock and see if he lives with somebody, a girlfriend or something. We went to another address that -- of a female that was supposed to be his girlfriend, made contact with her and some of our relatives, explained the situation again and gave a card to -- to them, as well. And what did you do then? After that, I went ahead and referred the case for prosecution to the AUSA's Office. And is that your normal process? 0 Yes, sir. And do you always attempt to try to locate the person before referring it for prosecution? Yes, sir. Α And why is that? With NICS referrals, a lot of times they are -- there are Sometimes NICS are FBI will say someone -- it looks like someone is prohibited because they're convicted of something when really it was a deferred adjudication, or sometimes it's even mistaken identity. Someone's first and last name matches, but the Social Security doesn't match, the date of birth doesn't match.

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

the whole story. Sometimes they just don't -- sometimes the NICS

So we typically -- we always call the purchaser first, get

# Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 37 referral, it shows to be invalid. So we end up closing the case out. Q And then in your six years with the ATF, how many of these

- Q And then in your six years with the ATF, how many of these cases have you brought forward for prosecution?
- 5 A This will be my second.
- Q So is it fair to say the vast majority of these you're able to make contact with the purchaser. And when you do, you do not bring prosecution against them?
- 9 A Correct.

1

2

3

4

- Q During your subsequent investigation after being unable to
  make contact with him, did you verify the defendant was actually
  under indictment?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 O And where was he under indictment?
- 15 A It was out of Pecos County, Texas.
- 16 Q And what was he under indictment for?
- A One was for burglary of a habitation back in 2020. And then bail jumping or failure to appear back in 2021.
- 19 Q And how did you verify that he was under indictment?
- 20 A I received copies of several documents including the copies 21 of the indictments and copies of court dockets.
- Q And were these, like, photocopies or were they actual sealed public records from the Court?
- A I received both. The Courts would send me something via email, and then I also requested certified with the seal

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 38 1 documents. 2 I'd like to direct you back to the exhibit binder. If you 3 could please, it's going to take a little bit, could you review 4 Exhibits 2 through 13? 5 Yes, sir. Completely and let me know when you have finished reviewing? 6 7 (Pause) 8 MR. MEREDITH: Judge, I guess this is a good time to ask to approach. 9 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 11 Y'all feel free to stand and stretch if you want, 12 you're welcome to. This will just be real quick. 13 (Bench conference at 9:10 a.m.) 14 THE COURT: Out of the presence of the jury. Yes, sir? 15 MR. MEREDITH: I'm sorry. 16 THE COURT: It's all right. 17 MR. MEREDITH: I'm going to object to a number of these exhibits coming up. And the ones I'm going to object to are 8, 18 19 9, and 12, and 13. 12 and 13, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Oh, 12 and 13. Yeah. 21 MR. MEREDITH: And the reason for the objection is that 2.2 these are testimonial -- this is testimonial evidence that's 23 being offered to prove an element of the crime that he was under 24 indictment. 25 My argument is that it violates the confrontation

Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 39
clause, and it violates Crawford because they need to bring the
people here who filled those out, and we don't have a chance to
cross-examine them.
THE COURT: Mr. Ellis, how do you plan to offer those?
MR. ELLIS: These would be offered as public records,
Your Honor. They're sealed by the County and
THE COURT: Softer.
MR. ELLIS: they're kept in the regular course of
business by the Court. They're not testimonial. These are the
records that are literally kept by the Court when a hearing
happens or anything happens.
THE COURT: And you'd offer it through this witness?
MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor. He's the one he
received them, he asked for them, the certified copies. He
reviewed them during the course of his investigation.
THE COURT: And did you give most of these to Mr.
Meredith?
MR. ELLIS: I did. Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: When?
MR. ELLIS: In our Government's prospective trial
exhibit list. And I notified that we were going to be submitting
them through public records.
THE COURT: When was that?
MR. ELLIS: I don't know the exact date, Your Honor. I
would have to

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 40 1 THE COURT: Was it yesterday or --2 MR. ELLIS: No, this was a couple weeks ago. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Meredith? Do you have any --4 MR. MEREDITH: I think that they're --5 They're public records. They're --6 They're using the Rules of Evidence to MR. MEREDITH: 7 get this in. And the Constitution trumps the Rules of Evidence. And I think that's what's Crawford's all about. And that's why 8 we have to be able to be allowed to cross-examine the person that 9 made the record. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll overrule your objection 12 as to 8, 9, 12, and 13. But I haven't heard the -- I mean, they 13 haven't been proved up yet to me. I mean, you're doing this in 14 anticipation because you've had notice of them. And so the 15 Defense has had the opportunity to complain. Have you mentioned 16 any complaints to Mr. Ellis about those, knowing that he was 17 going to prove them as a public record? 18 MR. MEREDITH: Not up until right now. I didn't find 19 it out until yesterday that I was going to do this. 20 THE COURT: Oh, okay. That you were going to do it, 21 meaning that he was going to. 2.2 MR. MEREDITH: Someone who knows the law better than I 23 do told me, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Throw in that confrontation clause thing. 25 MR. MEREDITH: Right.

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                       41
                THE COURT: I understand. So I'll respectfully
 1
 2
      overrule the objection. That doesn't keep you from objecting
 3
      obviously --
 4
                MR. MEREDITH:
                              I'll do that when they come up.
 5
                THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.
 6
           (Bench conference ends at 9:13 p.m.)
 7
                MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
      BY MR. ELLIS:
 8
 9
           Did you have a chance to examine Exhibits 2 through 13?
      Α
10
           Yes, sir.
11
           And what are they?
      0
           They're copies of the judicial documents received during
12
13
      this investigation associated with Mr. Quiroz.
14
           And are these documents that you reviewed and relied on
15
      during the course of your investigation?
16
      Α
           Yes, sir.
17
           Do each of the exhibits bear a seal purporting to be that of
18
      the United States, any state district, commonwealth, territory,
19
      ancillary possession of the United States or a department,
20
      agency, or officer of any entity I previously listed?
21
           Yes, sir.
      Α
2.2
           And which seal would that be of?
23
           Well, the Seal of the District Court, County of Pecos,
24
      Texas.
25
           Do each contain a signature purporting to be an execution or
```

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 42 attestation? 1 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 And are you able to read it and tell us what the stamp or 4 who it is that signed it? 5 Looks like it's Betty Gutierrez (phonetic) or --And who is that? Does it state her role? 6 Q 7 District Clerk. Α 8 The deputy, or the clerk? It says District Clerk, Pecos County by Deputy. 9 Α 10 Deputy, okay. And are these true and correct copies of the 11 same documents you reviewed during the course of your 12 investigation? 13 Yes, sir. 14 MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government moves to admit 15 Government's Exhibit 2 through 13 into evidence. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith? 17 MR. MEREDITH: The Defense is going to object to the Proposed Number 8, 9, 12, and 13 for reasons previously stated. 18 19 THE COURT: And as to the remainder, no objection? 20 MR. MEREDITH: No objection to the others, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Government's Exhibits 2 through 7 are 2.2 admitted without objection. 8 and 9 are admitted with objection. 23 10 and 11 are admitted without objection. 12 and 13 are admitted 24 -- 10 and 11 are admitted without objection. 12 and 13 are 25 admitted with objection.

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                       43
           (Government's Exhibits 2 through 13 admitted into evidence.)
1
 2
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, did I get that right?
 3
                MR. MEREDITH: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
      BY MR. ELLIS:
 6
 7
           I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit 2.
 8
           Yes, sir. I'm sorry. I thought you said something, Your
      Honor. Are we good to present?
 9
10
                THE COURT: Just one second.
11
                THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
      BY MR. ELLIS:
12
13
           Are you at Exhibit 2?
14
      Α
          Yes, sir.
15
           What is this?
16
           It's the indictment for Jose Gomez Quiroz for felony charge
17
      of burglary of a habitation.
18
           All right. And what is the cause number for that
      indictment?
19
20
           Cause number is P-4081-112-CR.
21
           And how do you know that th is is the indictment for the
2.2
      defendant?
23
           Because it lists his identify -- or it lists his first and
24
      last -- first, middle, and last name and his date of birth.
25
                MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government wishes to
```

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                       44
      publish Exhibit Number 2 to the jury.
1
 2
                THE COURT: You may publish any of these 2 through 13
 3
      that have been admitted.
 4
                MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
                Can you zoom in on the indictment part? The title and
      date.
 6
 7
      BY MR. ELLIS:
 8
      0
           It says the defendant. And what name does it provide?
 9
      Α
           Jose Gomez Quiroz.
           The date of birth?
10
      Q
11
      Α
           June 30th, 1983.
           And did you verify, is this the defendant's name and date of
12
13
      birth?
14
           Yes, sir.
      Α
15
           All right. And what is the felony charge?
      Q
16
           Burglary of a habitation.
17
           All right. I'll have you flip to -- actually, let's go to
18
      the very bottom where the signature is above it. Yeah, right
      there. And is it signed by a presiding juror?
19
20
           Yes, sir.
      Α
21
           And in your experience, what does that mean?
22
      Α
           That means that he was true billed, that the indictment was
23
      approved.
24
           What does true bill mean?
      Q
25
      Α
          that the juror -- that the grand jury felt that there was
```

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 45 probable cause for the charge. 1 2 Now I'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit 3. 3 MR. ELLIS: If we can zoom in on the same area. 4 BY MR. ELLIS: And what is this document? 5 It's also an indictment for Jose Gomez Quiroz. 6 Α And what is it an indictment for? 7 0 Felony charge of bail jumping/failure to appear. 8 Α 9 What is the cause number in this indictment? Α P-4248-112-CR. 10 11 And how do you know that this is an indictment for this defendant? 12 13 It identifies his full name and date of birth. 14 And it's the same as the other? 15 Yes, sir. Α 16 Is it the same name, date of birth that he provided on his 17 ATF form? 18 Α Yes, sir. And is it signed by a presiding juror? 19 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 And what does that mean to you? 22 Α That there was probable cause for the charge. 23 And do you know roughly how long after the first indictment was the second indictment? 24 25 Α I knew it was the following year.

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                        46
           About a year?
1
      Q
 2
      Α
           Yes, sir.
 3
           All right. I'll have you turn to Exhibit 4. And what is
 4
      this?
 5
           It's bail bond paperwork.
           And do you know what it's bail bond paperwork for?
 6
 7
           Felony charge of burglary of a habitation for Mr. Jose
      --Jose Ouiroz.
 8
 9
           And who is listed on this bail bond paperwork as the
10
      principal?
11
      Α
           The principal?
                MR. ELLIS: You can just zoom back in where you just
12
13
      were.
14
                THE WITNESS: Are we on number --
15
      BY MR. ELLIS:
16
           I'll direct you. So right under county district it says,
17
      "That we."
           Let me see. Oh. Understood.
18
      Α
19
      Q
           Do you --
20
      Α
           Yes, sir. Jose Quiroz.
21
           So who's listed as the principal?
22
      Α
           Jose Quiroz.
23
           And it's for what charge?
24
      Α
           Burglary of a habitation.
25
      0
           And does this bail document identify what type of charge
                          WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 47 that is? 1 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 0 What is it? 4 Α Felony as underlined. 5 And is there any other identifying marks on the document that you used during the course of your investigation? 6 7 Α Sorry. I don't understand the question. 8 Okay. So during the course of the investigation, what made you believe that the defendant knew of this document? 9 It proves that he signed it. 10 Α And the address provided? 11 0 Matches the address that was listed on his 4473. 12 Α 13 And can you please turn to Exhibit 5? 14 Α Sure. 15 What is this document? Q 16 It's bail bond paperwork, as well. 17 And who's listed as the principal in this bail bond? 0 Jose Gomez Quiroz. 18 Α And does this document notate what the bail bond is for? 19 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 And what is that? Q It says bail jumping, failure to appear. 22 Α 23 And is there any identifying numbers or marks on the 24 document? 25 Α It provides Mr. Quiroz's driver's license number.

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 48 Anything else? 1 Q And his date of birth. 2 Α 3 Q And --4 Α And his social. 5 And did you verify that information during the course of 6 your investigation? 7 Α Yes, sir. And was it in fact the defendant's information? 8 9 Α Yes, sir. I'd like to direct you now to Exhibit 6. And what is this? 10 Q 11 Α It is a copy of a criminal docket. Do you know what it's a copy of a criminal docket for? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. The offense charge of burglary of a habitation. 14 And how do you know that? Q 15 It's listed under offense. Α 16 And is there any other numbers that ties it to the 17 defendant? It lists the defendant's name and also is 18 Yes, sir. 19 associated where this is the same case number as the indictment. 20 I'd like to direct you down to the entry for September 15th of 2020. 21 22 Α Okay. 23 Can you read what that says? 24 Α D present, arraigned, NG entry. 25 0 And did you know what that meant when you first got the

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 49 document? 1 2 Initially, no. Then I had to get clarification. Α 3 And who'd you get clarification from? Q 4 Α With one of the clerks from the court. 5 One of the same clerks that would have sent the documents? 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 I'd like to now direct you to Exhibit 8. And what is this? 8 Α It's a form for discovery. 9 And how do you know this? It lists -- it's titled discovery, and it lists the State of 10 Α 11 Texas vs. Jose Gomez Quiroz. And does it give a cause number? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 What cause number's given? 15 P-4081-112-CR, the same cause number associated to the Α 16 burglary charge for Mr. Quiroz. 17 I would like to direct you --0 18 MR. ELLIS: If you'll zoom out. BY MR. ELLIS: 19 20 I would like you to read what the Court has certified as was 21 delivered to the defendant in this case. It says the one indictment P12, bond P1A --22 Α 23 What did you take that as meaning. 24 That Mr. Quiroz's attorney was provided a copy of an 25 indictment and bond paperwork.

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 50 1 Q I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 9. What is this? 2 Α Discovery paperwork. 3 The same type that we just reviewed? Q 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Is it for a different charge? 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 How do you know? 8 Because the cause number matches what the cause number for the bail jumping charge. 9 And I would like you to go down again and read one and two 10 11 as to what was turned over. Indictment P1 and bond P1A. 12 Α And during the course of your investigation, what did you 13 14 take that as meaning? 15 Mr. Quiroz's attorney was provided a copy of the indictment 16 and a bond. 17 All right. And I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 10. is this? 18 19 Α It's a notice of setting. 20 For what? 0 21 For the State of Texas vs. Jose Gomez Quiroz. Α 22 Q And is a cause number provided for this? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 And what is that cause number? Q 25 Α It is the same cause number for th burglary of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

25

### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 51 habitation. And during the course of your investigation, what did you take this as? Α That court was set for Mr. Quiroz related to the burglary charge. I'd like to direct you now to Exhibit 11. And what is this? Α Notice of setting. And is a cause number provided for this case? Α Yes, sir. What is it? Q Α The same cause number for the failure to appear charge. And during the course of your investigation, what did you 13 take this document to mean? That Mr. Quiroz was set for trial for that charge. Α I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 12. Q Α Yes, sir. And what is this? 0 18 Α A copy of the capias. 19 And do you know what a capias is? Α That it's a notice to arrest a subject for a charge. And what if anything about this document was important to your investigation? 23 It lists Jose Gomez Quiroz with the capias. It associates 24 it to the bail jumping charge. And it says that it was -- that this -- that Mr. Quiroz was -- let me see here. That it was

Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 52 1 executed and served on Mr. Quiroz. 2 And does it state why Mr. Quiroz was being arrested? 3 Yes, sir. For bail jumping and failure to appear. Α 4 All right. I'm going to direct you to the last sentence in 5 the first big paragraph. If you can bring that paragraph up. 6 The officers return portion, or? 7 No, no, no. The very -- the paragraph right after to any 8 peace officer of the State of Texas, greeting. Can you read? You are hereby commanded to arrest Jose Gomez Quiroz and him 9 keep so that you have him before the Honorable 112th District 10 11 Court of Pecos County, Texas, at the Pecos County Judicial 12 Building of said county in the City of Fort Stockton immediately. 13 Then and there to answer the State of Texas upon indictment 14 pending in said Court charging him with the offenses bail jumping 15 and failure to appear. 16 All right. And then I'm going to direct you to the 17 officer's return. 18 Α Sure. 19 Can you read that paragraph for me? 20 Officer's return came on hand on the 15th day of July, 2021, 21 and executed on the 24th day of August, 2021 by arresting the 22 within named Jose Gomez Quiroz at Fort Stockton in Pecos County, 23 Texas, and taking his bond which is herewith returned, placing 24 him in the county jail of Pecos County, Texas. 25 0 And what date was it returned?

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 53 Returned on this day, the 24th day of August, 2021. 1 Α 2 And which deputy returned it? 0 3 T.J. Perkins (phonetic). I believe that's the sheriff. Α Oh, 4 I'm sorry. Sheriff deputy. Deputy Pogs (phonetic)? 5 Deputy who? 6 Α Is it Daws (phonetic)? I can't read the last name. 7 And during the course of your investigation, did you take 8 this signature as meaning that it was served? 9 Yes, sir. Α And what was served? 10 Q 11 Α The capias. Okay. I'd like to direct you now to Exhibit 13. 12 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Q And what is this? 15 Α A precept to serve. 16 And do you know what a precept to serve is? 17 Α That the subject was served the indictment. 18 And was he in fact served the indictment according to this document? 19 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 And did you rely on that information during the course of 22 your investigation? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 And how do you know he was served a copy of his indictment? 25 Α It notes it in the officer's return portion.

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 54 1 And can you read that section of the return? 2 Came on hand on the 15th day of July, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. and 3 executed on the 24th day of August, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. by 4 delivering the precept accompanied by a certified copy of 5 indictment at Pecos County Jail in the County of Pecos in the State of Texas. 6 7 And was it returned signed by a deputy? 8 Α Yes, sir. Now I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 14. 9 10 you might have to take that out of your binder. 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 There's a few documents. Have you reviewed it? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Do you recognize it? Q 15 Yes, sir. Α 16 What is it? 17 The first page is a certificate of authenticity and domestic 18 business records. And the remaining pages is a copy of the 19 record for Mr. Quiroz's arraignment. 20 And the certificate of authenticity, you said is included 21 with it? 22 Α Yes, sir. 23 So was this record made at or near the time in which the 24 form was completed by someone with personal knowledge/ 25 Α Yes, sir.

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                       55
           And was the record kept in the course of regularly conducted
1
 2
      activity or business?
 3
      Α
           Yes, sir.
 4
           Is there a signed certification that this is a true and
 5
      correct record of the arraignment hearing?
 6
      Α
           Yes, sir.
 7
           And do you have personal knowledge as to who filled out this
      certificate?
 8
 9
           Yes, sir.
10
           And who was that?
      Q
11
      Α
           Elizabeth Rusk (phonetic).
           And how do you have personal knowledge?
12
13
      Α
           I contacted her in order to get copies of the arraignment
14
      record, the minutes of how -- of what was said.
15
                MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government moves to admit
16
      Government's Exhibit 14 into evidence.
17
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
18
                MR. MEREDITH:
                               No objection.
                THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 14 is admitted without
19
20
      objection.
           (Government's Exhibit 14 admitted into evidence)
21
2.2
                MR. ELLIS: The Government requests it be published to
23
      the jury.
24
                THE COURT: Yes, sir.
25
      BY MR. ELLIS:
```

```
Armendariz - Direct/Ellis
                                                                        56
1
      Q
           I'd like you to turn to Page 4 of the transcript.
 2
      Α
           Yes, sir.
 3
           Can you please read Lines 11 through 18?
 4
      Α
           The Court: Is there a Jose Gomez Quiroz in the court?
                                                                    Jose
 5
      Gomez Quiroz?
 6
           Mr. Quiroz: Yes, sir.
 7
           Mr. Valdez: I believe I hear him.
 8
           The Court: I hear him. Do you waive arraignment. Counsel?
 9
           Mr. Valdez: We want to have the arraignment.
           So during the course of the investigation, did you come to
10
11
      know whether or not the defendant was present at his arraignment
      for this case?
12
13
      Α
           Yes, sir.
14
      0
           How?
15
      Α
           Reading these records show that he was present.
16
           Can you flip to Page 5 of the arraignment transcript?
17
      Α
           Yes, sir.
18
      Q
           Can you please read Lines 5 through 16?
19
      Α
           Five.
20
           The Court: Mr. Quiroz? Mr. Quiroz? Mr. Quiroz, can you
21
      hear me, sir?
2.2
           Mr. Quiroz: Yes.
23
           The Court: You stand charged with the offense of burglary of
24
      a habitation. Do you understand that?
25
           Mr. Quiroz: Okay.
```

Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 57 Sorry, what number? 1 2 Through to 16. 0 3 Α Okay. 4 The Court: If a person was to be found guilty or guilt of 5 that type of offense, they could be sentenced to anywhere from 2 to 20 years in prison and a maximum fine of \$10,000. Do you 6 7 understand that, sir? 8 Mr. Quiroz: Yes, sir. During the course of your investigation, what did that tell 9 10 you? 11 Α That Mr. Quiroz had knowledge of the arraignment, or have knowledge of the indictment and the consequences if found quilty. 12 13 And the question? MR. ELLIS: Can we back up, Exhibit Number 1? 14 15 BY MR. ELLIS: 16 The question on the ATF Form 4473 that the defendant was 17 untruthful on was what number? 21.B. 18 Α And I'll have you just refresh, what did that question ask 19 20 again? 21 Stand by. 21.B, are you under indictment or information in 2.2 any court of a felony or any other crime for which the judge 23 could imprison you for more than one year, or are you a current 24 member of the military who has been charged with violations or 25 violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, and whose

#### Armendariz - Direct/Ellis 58 charges have been referred to a General Court Martial? 1 And defendant answered how? 2 3 Α He answered no. 4 Now based on the arraignment transcript, the Judge told him 5 he faced a sentence of how long? 6 Two to twenty years. 7 Based on your training and experience as an ATF federal 8 agent, would this burglary of habitation charge qualify under Question 21.B? 9 Yes, sir. 10 11 Therefore, based on the investigation and the documents you received from the District Court of Pecos, was the Defendant in 12 13 fact under indictment for the crime punishable by more than one 14 year in prison? 15 Yes, sir. Α 16 And did he have knowledge that he was under said indictment? 17 Α Records show he was. He did. 18 MR. ELLIS: Government passes the witness, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, your witness. 20 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can I get my bottle of water? 21 you don't mind. 2.2 THE COURT: Sure. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. MEREDITH: 25 Good morning.

1

25

#### 59 Armendariz - Cross Good morning. 2 You testified that you've been working on these cases for a 3 while? 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 And if you could just explain in a little more detail how 6 the process works for someone to pick up a gun that was bought 7 from a different seller, but then has to go through a licensed or 8 approved provider let's call it that can transfer the gun to him. Am I saying that right? 9 Firearm transfer. Yeah. I think I get what you're asking. 10 Α 11 So one way is that you can go in and see the gun under the 12 counter and just buy it right from the seller, right? 13 Α Right. 14 But this isn't that case, right? 15 Correct. Α 16 And in this case, it was the seller was not from Alpine. 17 I'm not sure what kind of entity or person that was. So it had 18 to go through an approved business or person who is authorized to 19 receive the gun. Is that correct? 20 Correct. Α 21 And so the -- so the True Value gets a notice, or does the 22 person, the purchaser go to True Value and tell them about it? 23 Do you mean -- are you asking if gets the notice that the 24 firearm is there, or gets the notice of the --0 They want to make a transaction and pick it up at True

#### Armendariz - Cross

1 | Value. Do you know how that starts?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

A What I -- from what I know, it's a person orders a firearm, can order a firearm online. And then from there, the distributor, either the purchaser or the distributer figures out where to have the firearm shipped to a licensed dealer so it can be -- so it can be transferred to that actual purchaser.

From there, I believe the distributor contacts both the purchaser and the FFL, the licensed dealer and lets them know it will be at this place. Sometimes maybe they'll give a tracking number or something like that.

- Q And when you say FFL, is that --
- A I'm sorry. It's Federal Firearms Licensee.
- Q So that would be a person that's been approved to make the transaction happen by getting the gun temporarily?
- 15 A It's another word for the gun dealer, a licensed gun dealer, an FFL.
  - Q A licensed gun dealer.

And this is part of a process to basically keep people from ordering guns online and sending them to any address and who knows where it ends up. Is that right? It's a safety measure?

- 21 A Correct.
  - Q And you talk about the delay part. And that would be that there's different types of, there's, like, prohibitions and then there's a delay when there's more investigation that needs to be done. And this was a -- came back as a delay. Is that what you

#### WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

60

#### 61 Armendariz - Cross said earlier? 1 2 Yes, sir. It started off as a delay. 3 How long was the delay for? 4 He was delayed the date of purchase, and on December 23rd. 5 And then -- or when he filled out the form, December 23rd. then it was actually denied I believe January 4th. 6 7 And but in terms of him actually picking the gun up, after a 8 certain number of days if nothing happens, then the person -- the delay is lifted and the person can pick up the gun. 9 10 right? 11 Correct. It -- delay exists for three days, and then it's Α up to the dealer if they want to release the firearm to the 12 13 person. So after a certain amount of time, then the dealer can 15 release it to the person? 16 Α Correct. 17 But during that period of time, that's the time that allows 18 the ATF to do their investigation to see if this person should be 19 allowed to get the gun or not. Is that right? 20 It's for FBI. FBI will do the initial before it's referred 21 to us. 22 Q So it's the time for the FBI to look into this? 23 Α Correct, sir. 24 And that didn't happen in this case? Q Α I'm sorry, what didn't happen?

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

14

25

#### Armendariz - Cross 62 It didn't happen before the delay period expired and Mr. 1 2 Quiroz was allowed to pick up the gun. 3 It wasn't resolved if that's what you're asking. Α 4 Right. And do you know if in this case, after the delay 5 period expired, did True Value call Mr. Quiroz and advise him 6 that he could come get the gun? 7 Α No, they did not. 8 He went and just asked if he could get it, and they said 9 okay? I was told he just, by chance, showed up one day and picked 10 11 up the firearm. 12 And they said okay? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Because the delay period had expired? Q 15 Α Correct. 16 We're going to just go through the exhibits, I guess. 17 Α All right. Yes, sir. 18 We're starting out with, we'll just go in the same order, 4473. And --19 20 THE COURT: Which exhibit is that, Mr. Meredith? 21 MR. MEREDITH: That's 1. 22 THE COURT: Number 1? 23 That's the firearms transaction record. MR. MEREDITH: 24 BY MR. MEREDITH: 25 And you went over this a little bit. It has, on line --

#### 63 Armendariz - Cross let's see -- Line 30 what appears to be Mr. Quiroz's signature. 1 2 Is that right? Page 3? 3 Yes, sir. Α 4 MR. MEREDITH: And I'm going to pull these up again or 5 else show them to the jury physically. I don't know how we could 6 do that. 7 THE CLERK: Where do you want me to go? 8 MR. MEREDITH: Line 1, Page 1, close up. THE CLERK: Line 1, Page 1, or the next page? 9 Oh, no. The Page 1 of the form. Right 10 MR. MEREDITH: 11 there. BY MR. MEREDITH: 12 13 This handwriting is the handwriting of the guy working at 14 True Value. Is that right? 15 Α Correct, sir. So the True Value person is the one who filled out the form? 16 17 The -- they fill out portions of the form, not all the form. Α 18 So, but that part is his hand -- is filled out by someone, 19 not Mr. Quiroz? 20 Correct, sir. And do you know which parts were filled out by the person at 21 22 True Value? It would be the portion -- it would be Section A which would 23 24 be the gun identifying portion on Page 1. 25 Section where? 0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Correct.

# Armendariz - Cross 64 Section A, what you're looking at there. 0 Right. Section A, gun identifying information for the gun portion. Α It would be Section C on Page 2. And then --Where's Section C? On Page 2, middle part where it says -- should be number 24 on. Okay. I see it. Okay. And then, let's see. I believe Section 33. signs, notes on Page 3, Section E is where he fills that out as well. And you know which parts were filled out by the person at True Value to him? Α Now? how do you know who filled out which parts of the form? Q I spoke to Mr. Portillo, the person who filled it out. And the -- just in terms of clarification, this 1911, and 0 the pistol's a 22 caliber pistol. Is that right? Α Yes, sir. That's what's listed. And the 1911 is the famous U.S. Army WWI semiautomatic that is much coveted. Is that right? Α I believe so, yes. And so this is a copy of that except it's a 22 instead of a 45?

#### Armendariz - Cross 65 And so Officer Agent Armendariz here, you've had a pretty 1 2 good familiarity with this form. Is that right? 3 Yes, sir. Α 4 And after the part that's filled out, there are there pages 5 of notices, instructions, and definitions. Is that right? Correct, sir. 6 7 MR. MEREDITH: And can you please flip to -- well let's set up the Page 3 first, and then 4, and then 5, and then 6. 8 THE CLERK: You have to let me know when you want me to 9 10 go. 11 MR. MEREDITH: Okay. You can keep going to the instructions which start -- can you go down a little bit? 12 13 mean, back to the beginning, towards the beginning, please. 14 Okay. 15 BY MR. MEREDITH: 16 So this is where it starts. Notices, instructions, and 17 definitions. And that's where it explains what the form means 18 and what's supposed to be done. Is that right? 19 Α Correct, sir. 20 And in those pages, there is a warning about a person being 21 under indictment. Is that right? 22 Α I believe so. I think it's in Section -- Questions 21.B on 23 Page 4. 24 So let's go to Page 4. 25 THE CLERK: On the instructions?

```
Armendariz - Cross
                                                                      66
                MR. MEREDITH:
1
                              Yeah. This page here. This one.
      that's it. That's good. And so in all this instructions and --
 2
 3
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, make sure that you --
 4
                MR. MEREDITH: -- notices --
 5
                THE COURT: Make sure you talk in the microphone.
 6
                              Oh, I'm sorry, Judge.
                MR. MEREDITH:
 7
                THE COURT: It's okay. You can stand over there if
 8
      you'll speak up.
 9
      BY MR. MEREDITH:
           On this page which in real life looks like this, it's pretty
10
11
      fine print there. And at the bottom of that paragraph it says
      that federal law 18 U.S.C. 922(n) states that it's receipt,
12
13
      transportation of a firearm by person under indictment for a
14
      felony. And so that's the part of the form that would advise a
15
      person about that's prohibited by federal law. Is that right?
16
          Correct, sir.
17
          And that would be in that --
18
                MR. MEREDITH: I don't need to blown up. That's okay.
19
      BY MR. MEREDITH:
20
           In that three pages of fine print, the person, if they
21
      waited through all that, they would find that section buried in
22
      the middle. Is that right?
23
           Correct.
24
          Let's just go in order with the -- with Mr. Ellis'
25
      questioning. I think that's easier than making up a new order.
```

#### Armendariz - Cross 67 There were -- we went to Mr. Quiroz's address. That was the 1 2 address that was provided on this form, right? Is that right? 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 And that's the 1018 Cardinal Street? 5 Yes, sir. 6 And when you went there, the two times you went there, his 7 mother in the front house, it's an actual house, said that he 8 lived in the back or stayed in the back. Is that right? 9 Correct. 10 So this is like a big lot with the house and then it has two 11 RVs or trailers in the back? 12 Α More than two. Several. Several RVs and an actual, like, a 13 single-wide trailer I believe in the back. 14 And you spoke to the mother and a couple of the men that 15 were staying at different trailers in the back. Is that right? 16 Α Correct. 17 And all of them told you that Mr. Quiroz lived there part of 18 the time. Is that right? 19 Α They said they lived there, he lived there. I didn't --20 So they said he lived there? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 Q And the mother said he lived there? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 So the address that was provided on this form, there's three 25 people who live there that also said that was his actual address?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

notice that he was charged.

# Armendariz - Cross 68 Correct. I keep talking about Exhibits 2, 3. You can look at them real quick. I can talk about them together. Those are the indictments that you discussed earlier and were admitted. Yes, sir. Were admitted. State indictments for burglary and failure to appear. And these are signed by the juror, the presiding juror. I guess that's the foreperson of the grand jury? I believe so. But there's nothing on there that says this had been provided to my client, or my client's acknowledgment or signature is on either one of these. Is that right? Α Correct, sir. 4 and 5 are the bond paperworks? 4, the bond paperwork for the burglary of habitation. And 5 being the bail jumping. Yes, sir. And these both are signed, or alleged to be signed by Mr. Quiroz. Is that right? Α Yes, sir, But it doesn't ever say the word indictment. It says charged with in both of these bond paperworks. Is that right? Α Yes, sir. So if you said earlier on direct that this was notice that he had been indicted, that's not strictly correct. It's just

#### Armendariz - Cross 69 1 As the form states, correct. It says charged. 2 Okay. The Exhibit Number 6 which is kind of a handwritten 3 docket about what's happening in the state case where you said D 4 present, arraigned, NG entered, that would be an example of if 5 not the same case, of the transcript that you read from earlier. 6 Is that right? 7 For the burglary? Yes, sir. 8 Okay. 8 and 9 are discovery letters. Exhibits 8 and 9 are discovery letters which were provided to Adrian Valdez, Attorney 9 10 at Law. Is that right? 11 As listed, yes, sir. Α 12 The description letter says via hand delivery, Adrian 13 Valdez, Attorney at Law. Is that right? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Nowhere on there does it say that it was provided to Mr. 16 Quiroz directly. Is that right? 17 Α Correct. 18 And then Exhibits 10 and 11, the notices of same, that's just like a notice that there's -- 10, that the jury trial's 19 20 coming up. And 11 is the same thing, that trial's coming up. 21 that right? 22 Α Correct, sir. 23 Doesn't say anything in there about indictment. Doesn't say 24 anything in there about Mr. Quiroz being provided a copy of this.

25

Is that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

## Armendariz - Cross 70 Correct. And 12 is the capias, and 13 is the precept to serve, which I think means serve him, serve the person a copy of this paper, the order to serve and a copy of the indictment. Is that how you read it? Yes, sir. 7 Now these were actually served, both of them it says -- the Pecos was served at Fort Stockton in Pecos County. And the precept and the indictment, it says it was served at Pecos Count Jail. Is that correct? 11 Α Yes, sir. MR. MEREDITH: That would be on the -- if we could get 13 that one which is Number 13, the precept. And just do the officer's return part on the bottom. BY MR. MEREDITH: So that doesn't say it was actually delivered to Mr. Quiroz. It says it was delivered to the Pecos County Jail. Is that correct? 18 19 Yes, sir. So they could have just handed it to the receptionist at the jail and said this is for Mr. Quiroz, and that would have been enough to satisfy this. Is that correct? 23 It's possible. Yes, sir. MR. MEREDITH: Let's move to the transcript. I don't think we're going to have to pull that up.

#### Armendariz - Cross 71 1 BY MR. MEREDITH: 2 There was -- if you could go to the copy of the transcript 3 which is --4 Α Yes, sir. 5 -- 14 I believe. 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 This was during COVID days. Is that right? 8 Α Yes, sir. So if you look at the top of Page 4, at the very beginning 9 in parentheses, can you read what that says? 10 11 Parties present via Zoom application. Α And on Page 5, let's see, Lines 3 and 4 is a response to the 12 13 Judge by Mr. Quiroz's lawyer. Can you read what that lawyer 14 said? 15 Mr. Valdez: Your Honor, I saw -- or I just saw him on there, Α 16 and now his screen went dark. Here he comes back. 17 So it was on Zoom and it was going in and out. Is that 18 correct? 19 Α It would appear so. 20 But he wasn't physically in the court? 21 No, sir. Α 22 So this is -- let me try to find out which judge it was. 23 Honorable Pedro Gomez, Jr., Judge Presiding. That's on the cover 24 page. I'm assuming that that's Judge Gomez is the person who's 25 speaking when we say Court here. Do you agree with that?

#### Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis 72 1 Α It's on Page 1 you said? 2 The very -- the cover page. Page 1. 0 3 Okay. Oh, yes, sir. Α 4 And in his arraignment, the Court says you stand charged 5 with the offense of burglary of a habitation. Do you understand 6 that? And Mr. Quiroz said okay. Is that right? 7 Α What page is that on again, sir? That's on Page 5 in the middle, Lines 8, 9, and 10. I think 8 you read it all, read it out loud earlier. 9 Yes, sir. That's how it reads. 10 11 So the Judge says charged. He doesn't say indicted. 12 that right? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 So in fact in this whole transcript of the arraignment, the 15 word indicted or indictment is never used by anybody. 16 On that person, correct, sir. 17 In this transcript of this arraignment, they never say the 18 word indictment or indicted. Is that right? 19 I don't recall reading, or I don't remember everything on 20 this one. But in that portion, I don't. You're correct. It 21 doesn't say indictment. 2.2 Well, it's two and a half pages. I think we can wait a 23 minute to have you look it over. 24 Okay. Yes, sir, you're correct. Α

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

Were you involved in the arrest on these charges of Mr.

25

0

#### Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis 73 Quiroz? 1 2 Α No, sir. 3 MR. MEREDITH: Pass the witness. 4 THE COURT: Redirect? 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLIS: 6 7 I want to direct you back to what you were just asked about 8 the denial and delay process. Do you recall the questions you were just asked? 9 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 After a certain amount of time, if the denial does not come 0 12 in, does that mean the purchaser is automatically approved? 13 Α No. 14 Okay. So what happens? 15 If -- so if you don't hear a response within a couple -- if Α 16 you don't hear a response -- if a gun dealer doesn't hear a 17 response from FBI NICS within a certain period of time, they'll automatically send the dealer information that it's been delayed. 18 19 It just depends on the time of day that you buy the gun. 20 If you buy it late at night, then you're probably not going 21 to -- and you have a history that would be flagged and the store 2.2 is probably going to close before you get a reply. So some 23 people will just typically come back and check up on it. 24 Or you may get an immediate response of this is delayed 25 because we found something and it needs to be looked into.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

22

25

# Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis 74 Okay. And if you get a delay, and that time period passes, does that delay then trump the statute that states that you cannot receive that firearm while under indictment? Α You can acquire it. You can still acquire the firearm. Yes, sir. And by acquiring it, would it still be illegal? Α Yes, sir. And when the firearm was released to the purchaser, the defendant in this case, why was it released? Α I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? So why was the firearm released to the defendant? It was released because the delay notice timed out. 13 after, or after three days, the dealer can still -- it's up to the dealer if they -- if they wan tot release the firearm to the purchaser. So in this case, the time passed. He was able to acquire it. And then January 4th, the FBI NICS notified the dealer that he was actually denied. 18 And going back to the questions about who filled out which 19 sections of the ATF form, were you present when it was filled 20 out? No, I was not. so the only way that you know which sections were filled out 23 were how? 24 By my interviews with Mr. Portillo. By that, by my interviews with Mr. Portillo.

```
Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis
                                                                       75
 1
      Q
           And I believe -- I'm going to direct you back to Exhibit 1.
 2
           1?
      Α
 3
           Yes, sir.
      Q
 4
      Α
           Stand by. Yes, sir.
 5
           I believe you asked questions about Page 4 of the ATF form.
 6
      Α
           Yes, sir.
 7
           We're going to get there real quick. All right. Did you
 8
      ask questions about the paragraph Question 21.B and 21.I. Do you
      see that?
 9
           Yes, sir.
10
      Α
11
                MR. ELLIS: All right. Now if you'll zoom back out?
      BY MR. ELLIS:
12
13
           And you have the actual physical copy in your hand, right?
14
      Α
           Correct.
15
           I think a statement was made by the defense that it's down
16
      here buried and it's hard to read. Could you compare Page 4
17
      where this paragraph is and compare it to Question 21.B?
18
      Α
           Yes, sir.
19
           Is it any more or less legible to you?
20
           It appears to be the same.
21
           Okay. And as was discussed by the Defense, they asked you
22
      does this paragraph contain the information about if you're under
23
      indictment?
24
           Yes, sir.
      Α
25
      0
           And does it contain information about the indictment?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

### Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis 76 It contains information, yes, sir. All right. And based on your experience as an ATF agent, which one of these paragraphs are easier to understand, the one on Page 4, or this very clearly written one here, 21.B? On I would say 21.B. Okay. And does 21.B buried on the form? Q Α No, sir. What page is it on? Α Page 1. Okay. And now I want to redirect you back to our Page 1. indictments. I believe it was Exhibits 2 and 3. Α Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 13 I believe you were asked there's nothing on the indictment that states the defendant was provided it, correct? Correct. Α And there's nothing on there that says hey, we gave them a copy, right? 18 Α Correct. 19 All right. So now I'm going to direct you to Exhibits 12 and 13. Yes, sir. Α Q Do you remember these documents? 23 Α Yes, sir. Is there anything on these documents that point to the fact that the defendant was provided the indictment?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# 77 Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis On 13 it does say, on officer return it says precept accompanied by certified copy of indictment at the Pecos County Jail. And 12 --All right. And now I'm going to direct you on Exhibit 13, if we'll go up to the top paragraph. You are hereby commanded. Α Yes, sir. Can you read that paragraph for me? You are hereby commanded that you, sir, Jose Gomez Quiroz, the defendant in the above number and entitled cause with the accompanying certified copy of indictment, the present place of residence of the said Jose Gomez Ouiroz is unknown. And based on your training and experience as a local, like, a local law enforcement officer and an ATF agent, what does it mean when you serve someone something? Α That you physically give someone something. All right. So and on this document, the State is commanding that the defendant be served with the indictment, correct? Α Yes, sir. And I'm going to redirect you on to the officer's return. Α Yes, sir. And is the officer's return filled out? Q Α Yes, sir. 0 Is it signed? Α Yes, sir. 0 What does that mean to you based on your training and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

21

22

25

### Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis 78 experience as a law enforcement officer? That the law officer certifies that the document was executed. And what is that --Served. Served. -- in laymen's terms? That it was served, that a copy of the indictment was given to the defendant. All right. Now, besides the capias and the precept, because this capias and precept is for which charge? Do you recall if 11 you take a look? Yes. This one's for the bail jumping. 13 For the bail jumping. Do you know why there wouldn't be a capias and a precept for the burglary of a habitation? Say again, sir. Α 16 Do you know, do you have any reason to why there's no capias 17 or precept to serve for the burglar of habitation? I don't. 18 Α No. Are you familiar with the indictment and the arraignment 19 20 process? Yes, sir. Α Okay. So if you're arraigned, what does that mean? 23 If you're arraigned, you were read the charge, you were 24 explained your -- your indictment. 0 And when you said read the charge, is that like what

#### 79 Armendariz - Redirect/Ellis happened earlier this morning when the indictment was read in 1 2 open court here? 3 Yes, sir. Α 4 So if it's read to you, do you have notice that you're under 5 indictment if someone reads it to you? Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 Okay. And I'm going to direct you to the arraignment transcript. I believe that is Exhibit 12. 8 It's 14. 9 10 If you could take that out for me? I believe you were 11 asked by the defense it was stated something along the lines of it appears the defendant wasn't present, correct. Was that what 12 13 you were asked? Correct. I believe so. 14 Α 15 You believe so? 0 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 I'm going to direct you to Page 4, please. 0 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Can you read Lines 7 to 13? 20 Mr. Valdez: This is set for an arraignment. Mr. Quiroz 21 didn't have internet access. I anticipate he's going to be in 2.2 the courtroom there for you. 23 The Court: Is there a Jose Gomez Quiroz in the courtroom? 24 Jose Gomez Quiroz? 25 Mr. Quiroz: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

25

# 80 Armendariz - Recross Q Was the defendant present in the courtroom? Α Yes, sir. And how do you know? Q Α He says he is. And one last thing. I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 5. Α Yes, sir. I think some questions came up about bond paperwork. Ιn this middle paragraph where it's in capital letters, the condition of the above obligation is such. Α Yes, sir. Can you read down to County in Texas? The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the above-named principal stands charged with the offense of a felony, GSI bail jumping and failure to appear, P-4248-112-CR, and the said above-named principal was required to give bail in the sum of this bond for his personal appearance before the District Court of Pecos County, Texas. And I noticed when you read it, you just charged with the offense of felony. You didn't read misdemeanor. Why? Because felony is circled. Α Felony is circled. And during the course of your investigation, what did that tell you? 23 That he was aware of his -- of a felony charge or a felony indictment. All right. And is there any indication on this form that

```
Armendariz - Recross
                                                                        81
      the defendant personally knew of this?
1
 2
           Yes, sir.
      Α
 3
           And when was that?
 4
      Α
           Mr. Quiroz's identifying information is listed on there, and
 5
      signed.
 6
           And it's signed?
 7
      Α
           Yes, sir.
                MR. ELLIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
10
                              RECROSS-EXAMINATION
11
      BY MR. MEREDITH:
           I guess we'll just go backwards because that's the thing
12
13
      that I remember first. In the exhibit, the bond Exhibit 5 was
14
      the one that you were just reading from, the bail jumping, GSI,
15
      failure to appear.
16
           Is that right?
17
      Α
           Yes, sir.
           And you ended by saying that that said that he was indicted.
18
      But it doesn't really say he's indicted, does it?
19
20
      Α
           No, sir.
21
           And the transcript, which is 14 --
22
      Α
           14?
23
      0
           14.
24
      Α
           Yes, sir.
25
      Q
           It says, like you said earlier, parties present via Zoom.
                          WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

I'll make sure you know when that is. You'll know when that is.

Take a short break. You can talk to each other, that's not -- there's no prohibition against that. Just don't talk about the case at all. And let's take -- let's come back at 10:30. It's 10:17. Let's come back at 10:30 to 10:33. If you'll be ready, lined up and ready to go, we'll move back in here.

We're going to stay and work a little bit. I'll give them a short break, shorter break. And we'll see you all back here. Any questions? No? All right. Let's rise for this jury, please. Thank you, all. And just go back out that way, sir.

(Jury out at 10:17 a.m.)

2.2

THE COURT: Let's have a seat, please. Outside the presence of the jury. Just to follow up on our bench conference, Mr. -- as to Government's Exhibits 10 through 13, when they were being offered in mass, in bulk there, Mr. Meredith had objections to Number 8, Number 9, Number 12, and Number 13.

The Court finds all these documents, 2 through 13, Exhibits 2 through 13 are public records. They're all self-authenticating. Adequate and sufficient notice was given. And just in case, you know, I didn't make a clear enough ruling at the time, any identification issues would go to the weight of the evidence, not the admissibility.

Mr. Ellis, who's your next witness?

MR. ELLIS: Based on the testimony that just came out,

```
84
      we believe we're going to call a rebuttal witness. He is noticed
1
 2
      on our witness list, but he wasn't going to be --
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. This is your case in chief, though.
 4
      It wouldn't be a rebuttal witness at this time.
 5
                MR. ELLIS: That's right. So we'll be calling Michael
      Stallard.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stallard? Okay. If you will,
 8
      when we come back in, have -- so whoever your next witness is,
      have them up here ready to go.
 9
10
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.
11
                THE COURT: When we come back. Anything else you want
      to take up outside the presence?
12
13
                MR. ELLIS: No, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
15
                MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: All right. Let's take a break. We'll be
17
      back, let's come back at 10:30.
                THE DEPUTY: All rise.
18
           (Recess at 10:20 a.m./Reconvened at 10:30 a.m.)
19
20
           (Outside the presence of the jury; defendant present)
21
                THE CLERK: All rise.
2.2
                THE COURT: All right. We're all back. Outside the
23
      presence of the jury. Mr. Ellis, anything you need to take up?
24
                MR. ELLIS: Just, Your Honor, this witness is not
25
      sworn.
```

```
85
                THE COURT:
1
                           Okay.
 2
                MR. ELLIS: We were saving him for a rebuttal witness.
 3
      He'll need to be sworn.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. I'll tell everybody to sit down.
 5
      You stay standing, okay, and we'll swear at you and you can sit
      down.
 6
 7
                MR. STALLARD: Okay.
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, anything you want to take up
 8
 9
      outside the presence?
10
                MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Very good. Let's bring the jury in,
11
12
      please.
13
                THE DEPUTY: Sir, we still got one or two, we're
14
      waiting.
15
                THE COURT: Oh, okay. Let's let them finish and wash
16
      their hands.
17
           (Pause)
18
                            Ms. Martinez, I think you need to work on
                THE COURT:
19
      your skills. I thought, like, Mr. Meredith, I thought you could
20
      surely pull up a split screen or something.
21
                MS. MARTINEZ: I can pull -- sorry. I can do a split
      screen if it's two different exhibits.
2.2
23
                THE COURT: Oh. But not the same one?
                MS. MARTINEZ: I can divide the screen into two. But
24
25
      if it's the same document, I can't make two different pages. But
```

```
Stallard - Direct/Ellis
                                                                      86
      I will work on it. I'll see what I can do.
1
 2
                THE COURT: All right.
 3
           (Jury in at 10:33 a.m.)
 4
                THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Mr. Ellis,
 5
      your next witness is?
 6
                MR. ELLIS: Government calls Pecos County Jailer
 7
      Michael Stallard.
 8
                THE COURT: Sir, if you'd raise your right hand.
                MR. STALLARD: Yes, sir.
 9
           MICHAEL STALLARD, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
10
11
                THE COURT: You may have a seat.
12
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
13
                THE COURT: Adjust yourself to that microphone if you
14
              I'll just tell you the rule's been invoked which means
15
      you'll only be in here when you're testifying. And don't speak
16
      with anybody about the facts of the case except the attorneys for
17
      the duration of the trial. Okay?
18
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
20
                Mr. Ellis, you may proceed.
21
                MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
2.2
                              DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
      BY MR. ELLIS:
24
           Mr. Stallard, can you state your full name for the record
25
      and spell your last name?
```

Stallard - Direct/Ellis 87 1 Michael Dale Stallard, S-T-A-L-L-A-R-D. 2 And how are you employed? 0 3 I am employed as a jailer at the Pecos County Sheriff's Α 4 Office. I was employed there in 2009. 5 So how many years of law enforcement experience do you have? It'd be about 13 years so far. 6 Α 7 And I just want to ask some brief questions about your role 8 as a jailer in the Pecos County Sheriff's Office. Yes, sir. 9 Α What role, if any, do jailers at the Pecos County Sheriff's 10 11 Office play in the service of capiases and precepts to serve by the Sheriff's Office? 12 13 When, you know, a defendant's brought into jail, we usually 14 have to go up front. 15 We pull off all the warrants for the back, and we have them 16 with us in-hand. When they're brought in, these are usually are 17 handed to the deputy, PD or DPS. Precepts are only signed by the 18 deputies only. But we are handed them, these papers. They're 19 signed, given back to us. 20 And is that how every jailer is trained to do it? 21 Correct, sir. Α 22 Q And is that how you've always done it in your entire career? 23 That's the way I've done it ever since I've started working 24 there.

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

All right. I would like to direct you to, there should be

25

Stallard - Direct/Ellis 88 an exhibit binder in front of you. 1 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 Do you see that? If you flip to Exhibit 12? 4 Α Correct, sir? 5 All right. Do you recognize what this is? 6 It's a capias warrant which would have been signed by the 7 PD, or in this case the Sheriff's Office, officer warrant. And 8 if this was the -- this is the original. If there had been a copy, or a signed defendant copy, then that copy would have also 9 10 been signed, at which time these papers, if they're handed back 11 to me, I get all my information off the capias. 12 And if the defendant's copy's handed to me, then I hand that to the defendant where it's in his possession. And then during 13 14 that time he has it, he can either take it with him when we --15 after we book him into the cell, or I ask him do you want me to 16 put it into your property and it's put in his property. 17 Therefore, it's given to him when he's released. 18 All right. And I'm going to direct you to the date on this 19 capias down on the officer's return. Based on your training and 20 experience, can you tell what date that was actually served on the defendant? 21 22 Α It states July 15th, 2021. 23 Okay. It says came --24 Α Executed on the 24th day of August, 2021. 25 0 And when it says executed on, what does that mean based on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Stallard - Direct/Ellis 89 your training and experience? Executed means on that day, this person was brought into our custody. This paper was signed, and was therefore given to me. And I gave it to the defendant, his copy. And do you recall if you were working the night of the 24th day of August? Α Yes, sir. All right. I work night shift. And if you were there, how would you have handed this? let me rephrase that. If you were there when this return happened, what role if any would you have had on the process? MR. MEREDITH: I'm going to object. We're getting a little speculative here about --MR. ELLIS: He was --THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection as to his training and experience, and his knowledge as to his training and experience. Not that he's speculating what this officer did and how it was done, but what he would typically do. MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. BY MR. ELLIS: What if any would you have had in this process? In this process, again, if there was a precept to be served which would have a copy, then this would have been signed.

#### Stallard - Direct/Ellis

If given back to me with the other warrants, then that precept I would hand to the defendant because it is his copy.

And he has option. If he wants to keep it in his hands, he can. Or before he goes into his cell, if he doesn't want to keep it,

I'll ask him and I'll put it in the property so it's in their property so when they're released, it's still in -- they have their custody, it's in their hands.

- Q Okay. And you -- I believe you just said that if there was a precept to serve.
- 10 A That's right.
- 11 Q That would have been with it, correct?
- 12 A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 13 Q I'd like you to flip to the next exhibit in your book,
- 14 Exhibit Number 13.
- 15 A Precept to serve.
- 16 Q Is that what this document is?
- 17 A Yes, sir.

Precept to serve is the one where it shows that the warrant was, again, signed on that date by the deputy. That precept, the original goes into file. The copy of the precept is given to the defendant.

If there's -- if all these papers are handed to me, then I go through and that precept I hand to them. Again, it's in their -- they have it. And if they don't want to keep it in their hands, then I put it in their property. It's their option.

#### WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

90

#### Stallard - Direct/Ellis 91 1 All right. And can I then focus down at the officer's 2 return again? 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 What date was this executed on? 5 Α The 24th of August, 2021. At what time? 6 Q 7 Α It says at 7:00 p.m. 8 Were you working on that date? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 My shift starts at seven to seven. And I'm usually in there 11 by quarter 'til seven. And, you know, as inmates start coming in 12 earlier, I just go out ahead and start booking. So the preceding 13 shift can leave. 14 And just one final question on the last exhibit and this 15 It's signed by I believe it's Power 613. Do you have 16 personal knowledge as to who that is? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 That's Deputy Powers (phonetic). He has since gone on to working in another city, another department. But that's Deputy 19 20 Powers, and he was number 613. 21 And on this date and at this time, would he have had the 22 authority to serve these types of documents? 23 Correct, sir. He would. 24 MR. ELLIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, your witness.

Stallard - Cross 92 1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. MEREDITH: 3 Good morning, Mr. Stallard. Q 4 Α Morning, sir. 5 We're going to talk about these exhibits a little bit more. 6 The capias like this just means it's like arrest, isn't it? 7 that what it is? 8 Α The capias is the warrant. Yes, sir. And when you read that it was executed on the 24th day of 9 10 August, executed means that he was arrested. Is that right? 11 That's the day he was brought in. Yes, sir. Α 12 Okay. And you also mentioned that in your experience, the 13 way you have done it is there's a defendant's copy? 14 Yes, sir. Α And does the defendant sign that copy? 15 0 16 Α No, sir. 17 Does the defendant sign anything? No, sir. 18 Α The only time a defendant will sign the papers is 19 when we're through booking and it's the normal booking papers. 20 And this would have been August of last year, nine months 21 ago about. Is that right? 2.2 Α Correct, sir. 23 What days of the week were you working back then? 24 I have to see what days. But my shift was -- if you showed 25 me a calendar, I could tell you perfect. If this was

#### Stallard - Redirect/Ellis 93 1 Monday-Tuesday, or Wednesday-Thursday, those shifts, we alternate 2 days. 3 Okay. 4 Α S to he exact date without having the date specified. 5 6 But here we do have the date specified. Was that one of the Q 7 days of the week that you were working? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 How do you know that? 10 Because I'm -- I looked. I was told. I looked at that on 11 the booking sheet. And it's my signature of booking him in. But you didn't sign either one of these exhibits. Is that 12 13 right? 14 No, sir. No, sir. These are signed only by a deputy. 15 So did you physically witness Deputy Powers handing these 16 documents to Mr. Quiroz? 17 To say personally handed, I cannot say yes or no because Α 18 many times, these documents are signed, given to us as jailers, 19 and we hand it to them. 20 But you don't have any direct experience about what happened 21 with these two documents with Deputy Powers on August 24th last 22 year, do you? 23 As far as the deputy? No, sir, I cannot fully say. All I 24 can say is what I've said is --25 0 That's fine. That's good. But -- and there's nothing on

```
Stallard - Redirect/Ellis
                                                                       94
      either one of these documents that may or may not have been
 1
 2
      provided to Mr. Quiroz that explains what indictment means, is
 3
      there?
 4
           The indictment would be -- would say on these -- no, sorry,
 5
      it would be on the charges that all of them are precept.
 6
           Right. But you don't go through it and explain to him what
 7
      an indictment means to him in your experience. Is that right?
 8
      Α
           No, sir.
                MR. ELLIS: Objection, Your Honor.
 9
                MR. MEREDITH: Pass the witness.
10
11
                THE COURT: Redirect?
12
                MR. ELLIS: Briefly, Your Honor.
13
                             REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14
      BY MR. ELLIS:
15
           Mr. Stallard, based on your training and experience as a
16
      jailer --
17
           Yes, sir.
      Α
18
           -- conducting this process over how many years again?
19
           Thirteen.
20
           Thirteen. Does a defendant need to sign either of these
21
      forms to receive it or have knowledge of it?
22
      Α
           Does he have to sign it? No, sir.
23
           All right. And I believe you were just asked if you
      personally knew this -- what this deputy did at the time.
24
25
      believe you said no, correct?
```

#### Stallard - Redirect/Ellis 95 That I could say definite, yes or no? No, I cannot say that 1 2 he handed it to him. I will say that if he did not hand it to 3 him at all, the papers were handed to me and we always -- I'm not 4 the only jailer. We always handed those copies that say 5 defendant, and especially with the precepts, there to the person 6 coming in. 7 And again, they have it in their possession. If they want 8 it, fine. If not, then we take that and put it in the property. And when they leave the jail, what happens? 9 That property goes with them. It's there for them. 10 Α 11 Now you just stated that you always do it this way? 0 Yes, sir. 12 Α 13 And that's based on your training and experience? 14 Yes, sir. Every time. It's the way I was taught, it's the 15 way I do it. 16 So even if you don't remember, you know, three years ago a 17 case, because you do it always the same way --18 Α Yes, sir. 19 -- are you confident that that's the way you did it this 20 time? 21 Yes, sir. Α 2.2 MR. ELLIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith? 24 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. MEREDITH:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

# Stallard - Recross 96 Did you say -- did you witness the property being returned to Mr. Quiroz when he got out? I would not say that because I do not know what date he got Α out. You don't remember doing it is what I'm saying. Again, if I wasn't the jailer on duty, then he -- I wasn't there when he got out and his property was handed to him. Right. But the question is do you have any memory of your own experience handing him that document at any time, those documents? When he booked in, as again I said, I hand the documents to Α them, ask them if they want to keep it. If not, it goes in their property. That's the last I can tell you that I get as far as when you're asking when he got out. If I was not on duty, I cannot say. Right. But I'm not asking what you normally do or what you've done all your work history. I'm just asking you if you have any memory of handing Mr. Quiroz these documents. Α At the time he was booked in? At the time that he got out. Α At the -- again, no, sir. MR. ELLIS: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and answered. MR. MEREDITH: He's being --THE COURT: He just answered again. He said no, sir. He said no.

```
97
                MR. MEREDITH: Oh, he said no? Okay.
1
 2
                THE COURT: I think y'all were talking.
 3
                MR. MEREDITH: I didn't hear.
 4
                THE COURT: I'm sorry.
 5
                MR. MEREDITH: All right. Okay. Where does that leave
      us?
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Any other questions?
                MR. MEREDITH: Pass the witness.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Anything else?
10
                MR. ELLIS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
11
                THE COURT: Sir, thank you for being here. I
12
      appreciate it.
13
                THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
                                              Thank you.
14
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, may this witness be excused?
15
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: Thank you.
17
           (Witness excused)
                THE COURT: Next witness.
18
19
                MR. ELLIS: Government calls Michael Portillo.
20
                THE COURT: Portillo.
21
                MR. MEREDITH: Maybe we can save some time if we
22
      approach briefly, Judge, instead of in a few minutes.
23
                THE COURT: Sure.
24
           (Bench conference at 10:49 a.m.)
25
                THE COURT: Outside the presence of the jury. Yes,
                         WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

```
Portillo - Direct/Calve
                                                                      98
      sir.
1
                MR. MEREDITH: Are you going to try to do that line up?
 2
      Are you going to try to get the lineup in as an exhibit?
 3
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, because that's how we identified him.
 4
 5
                MR. MEREDITH: But if just admit it's him?
                MR. ELLIS: I'm --
 6
 7
                MR. MEREDITH: We don't have to do that lineup because
 8
                           Testimony on the lineup?
 9
                THE COURT:
                           I'm fine if you say -- if we, like,
10
                MR. ELLIS:
      stipulate basically that he was identified by him?
11
12
                MR. MEREDITH:
                              Yeah.
13
                MR. CALVE: And that was him that went in and -- on the
14
      information on the form and signed it.
15
                MR. MEREDITH: Yeah, he was the one that was in there.
16
                MR. CALVE: All right.
17
                MR. MEREDITH: Yeah, we don't need the --
18
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You don't need this guy?
19
                MR. ELLIS: I still need him. I mean -- I think we
20
      still --
21
                THE COURT: Okay.
22
                MR. ELLIS: But it will cut it in half. I don't need
23
     to ask him the full questions. But I want to make sure.
24
                MR. MEREDITH: Just ask him to point him out and say
25
     that's him sitting over there. I mean, we don't have to do -- I
```

```
Portillo - Direct/Calve
                                                                      99
1
      mean, he can do it right now.
 2
                THE COURT: Yes, sir.
                MR. MEREDITH: We don't have to do the lineup.
 3
                MR. ELLIS: I think it's kind of bolstering.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Okay.
 6
                MR. ELLIS: Okay.
 7
                THE COURT: (Indiscernible) then you got it.
           (Bench conference ends at 10:50 a.m.)
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Sir, were you sworn?
10
                MR. PORTILLO: Yes, sir.
11
                THE COURT: You may have a seat. My apologies.
12
      didn't know you were standing there. I thought you were already
13
      sitting down.
14
                MR. PORTILLO: It's all good.
15
                THE COURT: You can adjust yourself a little bit.
      you start talking, if you're too close I'll tell you to back up.
16
17
                Mr. Ellis, you may proceed.
18
                MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
           MICHAEL PORTILLO, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
20
                              DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
      BY MR. ELLIS:
22
           Mr. Portillo, can you please state your name for the record
23
      and spell your last name?
24
           Michael Portillo, P-O-R-T-I-L-L-O.
      Α
25
           Where are you currently employed?
                          WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

16

24

25

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 100 True Value in Alpine, Texas. Α And what are your job duties at the True Value? 0 Α Sales clerk at the sporting goods department. And sales clerk, what kind of sales clerk are you? 0 Over the sporting good sales, firearms. Α And based on your knowledge as a firearm or a gun salesman, 0 7 is True Value a licensed firearm dealer? Yes, sir. We're a licensed FFO. Α And how do you know that? 0 Our license is behind us in the gun counter. I'd like to direct you to the date of December 23rd, 2021. Were you working that day? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. Do you recall anything out of the ordinary occurring that 15 day? We had a gentleman come in that had ordered a firearm and 17 was there to pick it up. 18 And what was out of the ordinary about that? Why does that 19 stick out to you? 20 Α It was just -- I don't know. It was just -- it was just 21 when he came up to the counter, he was just -- he just asked for 22 his firearm, but he had to do his paperwork first. 23 And I mean, during that time, did anything specifically about the defendant stick out to you that looked out of place or anything?

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 101 He looked kind of, how can I put it, like he was from Fort 1 Stockton. 2 And what does that mean? 3 That it was just things that stick out to me that that's 4 5 where he was from. And what's that based on? 6 7 The way he was -- the way he was dressed and stuff like 8 that. I mean, I've been around people from Fort Stockton. That's how I perceive them. 9 10 And it's just something that I guess locals would know? 11 Α Yeah. Yes, sir. Sir, do you personally remember this customer or event 12 13 because it ultimately involved an ATF investigation, or did you 14 remember it before, or when the agents reached out to you, did 15 you already remember? Yes, sir. 16 Α 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that question? What was it? 18 19 MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 BY MR. ELLIS: 21 So do you remember this customer yourself, so you just 22 remember him when ATF reached out, or did you go back and have to 23 review documents to identify who the guy was ATF was reaching out 24 to you about? 25 No, I remembered him. It was just something about him that

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

20

21

24

25

### Portillo - Direct/Calve 102 I remembered. And was he acting in any strange ways? Was he doing anything out of the ordinary? No, sir. He didn't act any different. But I just, there was just something about him that I remember. And is the person who came in to purchase that firearm, or 7 fill that form out, is he in the courtroom today? Yes, sir. Α And where's he at? Can you point him out? He's right there behind you. MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government would ask that the record reflect that the witness pointed out the defendant. 12 THE COURT: The record shall so reflect. BY MR. ELLIS: 15 Now I believe a minute ago you stated that this customer 16 came in to pick up a firearm? Α Yes, sir. And what does that mean? Why wasn't he buying it from your 18 19 store? Α He had an order online from Cheaper Than Dirt. And it came into our store. 22 And if he purchased it online, why was he at the True Value 23 to get it? Why didn't he have it, like, shipped to his house? By ATF laws, you have to have it shipped to an FFL dealer so Α you can go in there and do your 4473.

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 103 I'd like to direct you to the exhibit binder in front of 1 2 you. Could you flip to 19? Which one? 3 Α 19. 4 0 5 Α Okay. 6 Do you recognize this? 0 7 Α Yes, sir. And what is it? 8 9 It's the invoice that came in with the gun. Α 10 And is this a fair and accurate representation of the 11 invoice that came with the gun that you had with the firearm on 12 December 23rd of 2021? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 All right. Is this in fact the actual receipt for the 15 firearm that the defendant applied for? 16 Yes, sir. Α 17 And how do you know this? 18 It has his name on there, all his information. It has our 19 information for the store, Morrison's True Value. And it -- and 20 the name of the company where he ordered it from. 21 And do you have personal knowledge, do you remember 22 reviewing this receipt --23 Yes, sir. Α 24 -- after getting questioned? 25 Α Yes, sir.

```
Portillo - Direct/Calve
                                                                      104
 1
                MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, the Government moves to enter
 2
      Exhibit 19 into evidence.
 3
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
                MR. MEREDITH: No objection.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Government's Exhibit 19 is admitted without
 6
      objection.
 7
           (Government's Exhibit 19 admitted into evidence)
      BY MR. ELLIS:
 8
           Can you tell the jury what type of firearm is listed on the
 9
10
      receipt?
11
           It's an ATI semiautomatic 22. It's a 1911 style.
      Α
12
           And what does ATI mean?
           That it's the brand, American Tactical.
13
      Α
14
           And is this the firearm that the defendant had shipped to
15
      your actual store?
16
           Yes, sir.
      Α
           Now I'd like to direct you to the exhibit folder in front of
17
18
      you again to Exhibit 1 this time. You might have to pull it out
19
      of the sleeve to look at all of the -- sorry about that.
20
      Α
           Okay.
21
           All right. Do you recognize this?
22
           Yes, sir.
      Α
23
           What is it?
      Q
24
      Α
           It's the ATF 4473.
25
           And is this a true and accurate representation of the actual
      0
                          WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 105 1 form the defendant filled out on December 23rd, 2021, in your 2 presence? 3 Yes, sir. And how do you know this? 4 5 If you look on the other page, that's where on 26 -- 24 --6 on Section C, if you look down there, that's my handwriting and 7 my signatures and all that. 8 Q And Section C, which page is that on so we can --9 Α Second page, sir. 10 Q Second page. and that's all the information that I need to fill out. 11 Α 12 And so you said that's your handwriting? 0 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 You filled out all of Section C? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 And do you know who filled out numbers 1 through 23 on the form? 17 18 Mr. Ouiroz. 19 How do you know he filled those sections out? 20 Α Because I was there when he was doing it. And that's what 21 he has to fill out whenever he goes and picks up the gun. 22 And did you help him with the form? 23 Α No, sir. 24 And did the defendant ever ask you any questions about the 25 form while he was filling it out?

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 106 No, sir. 1 Α 2 So what were you doing while he filled the form out? I was to the side waiting for him to finish. 3 Α And after the form was filled out, what if anything did you 4 5 do? 6 I got his ID, I checked his ID, looked over the information Α 7 that he wrote down, make sure it coincides with what's on his ID. 8 Then I proceeded to do it on the computer, put everything in the computer to the FBI. Then I sent it off. 9 10 And did you verify his ID or his driver's license with any other documents? 11 With the receipt that came in with the gun. 12 Α 13 MR. ELLIS: Your Honor, I'd ask that the Exhibit 19 be 14 published to the jury. 15 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may. 16 MR. ELLIS: and if you'll zoom in at the top where his 17 personal information is. 18 BY MR. ELLIS: 19 This is the information you verified off his ID? 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 And so his name, Jose Quiroz, that was on his driver's license? 22 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 And that was his valid address on his driver's license? 0 25 Α Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Portillo - Direct/Calve 107 And what did you do with the form after it was completely filled out, you had the driver's license information here, what if anything did you do? I waited for the -- after I put it in the computer and everything, I waited for the response from ATF, well from the FBI. When you said you put it in the computer, what does that mean? I mean, I typed everything, all his information into the computer because we got to do that. We look at this and we go back in and put it in through the computer. All the information that writes down, that's what we put in into the -- it's almost like a 4473 that's on the computer. We go through all that. We got to go back and verify his last name, and first name and middle name. Put all that in there and then send it out to the FBI. And we sit there and we wait. We wait for a response from them. And when you say wait for a response, what response did you get in this case? Α A delay. What does that mean to you? That they're still researching his information through all the information he put in there. They're researching his background check, I guess.

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

So was he allowed to take the firearm that day?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Portillo - Direct/Calve 108 No, sir. Α Why not? 0 Because he's on delay. I mean, until I get a response from the FBI, he can't take the gun. All right. And how long does this delay last? I mean, they give us a date, and that's what we put on here Α when it says delay. And they have to wait until that day. 0 And once that day comes, what can occur then? What's that? Excuse me? Α 0 Once the day comes, the date that you put down, I believe --Α 12/30. Go to Exhibit 1. I think it's 27C. Α Yeah, it's 12/30/21. So it says he's delayed. And can you read that next portion? You should have it right in front of you. Yeah, 12/30 delay (indiscernible) be transferred on 12/30/21 if state law permits. And based on your experience as a gun salesman in the State of Texas, was he permitted to pick the gun up on 12/31/21? Α Yes, sir. All right. Does this delay, based on your experience, mean that if he is under indictment or if he does not qualify with these questions, that that delay notice trumps these restrictions on him?

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

No, sir. I mean, they're just researching what the rest of

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

14

25

### 109 Portillo - Direct/Calve his information. And after he had the delay, what if anything did the defendant do at that point? He ended up going home. He came back on that day and picked up the firearm. And did you call and speak with him and say hey, your delay 7 is up, you can come and get it? Α No, sir. And so how did he arrive back at the store? I mean, I gave him that date whenever he got the delay. A dn then that's how he knew he could come and pick up that gun on 11 12 that day. 13 And did the defendant actually return? Α Yes, sir. 15 What did he return to the store for? 16 Pick up his gun. Α And was the firearm transferred to the defendant? 17 Yes, sir. 18 Α 19 After he took possession of the firearm, was he ever 20 approved through the NICS system? 21 Α No, sir. Two days, two, three days later he was denied. 22 And what if anything did you do as a gun salesman once that 23 happens? 24 We get calls from the ATF letting us know that. I mean, Α there's -- I mean, like, the gun sales, I mean, we do what we do.

#### Portillo - Direct/Calve 110 1 We go by what they gave us -- the dates that they give us. 2 that day, since we had no respond, by that Brady law we were -we could -- we were able to give him the gun. Two day -- two or 3 three days later he got denied. 4 5 And can I have you zoom in to 27D? And if you would look at 6 27D in front of you --7 Yes, sir. Α 8 -- on Page 2. What date was the defendant actually denied on? 9 1/4 of 2022. 10 Α And after he was denied, did you or anyone at True Value 11 call the ATF about this denial? 12 13 Α No, sir. They're the ones that call us. 14 Do you recall when the ATF agents reached out to you? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 When was that? 0 17 Α I don't remember. Maybe the -- I think it was a couple of 18 days after that. 19 And then what happened when they reached out to you? Q 20 Α We got together and did a photo lineup. And did you positively identify the defendant? 21 0 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 And did any of the agents direct you to pick anybody out in 24 particular?

#### WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

25

Α

No. No, sir.

#### Portillo - Cross 111 Pass the witness, Your Honor. 1 2 Mr. Meredith, your witness. THE COURT: 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. MEREDITH: 5 Good morning, Mr. Portillo. 6 Α How are you doing, sir? 7 Pretty good. Did you grow up around here? 8 Α In Alpine. And what makes you feel like you can pick 9 someone out from Fort Stockton? 10 I used to work there. I used to live there for four years. 11 Α Can you describe anything specific about how they dress or 12 13 behave or anything that makes you think oh, that guy's from Fort 14 Stockton? 15 Certain ways they use their cowboy hats, because he was Α 16 wearing a cowboy hat that day when he showed up. 17 And so it's a Fort Stockton way of having a cowboy hat? Q 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Is there anything else that would make it that he was from 20 Fort Stockton? 21 When I got his paperwork on that --Α 22 I mean before that, when you just saw him without knowing 23 anything about him. 24 Just, I mean, like I say, the way he was dressed up. 25 0 And the instruction, in the Exhibit 1, that's the firearms

#### 112 Portillo - Cross transaction record. 1 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 You said that you only filled out Section C on Page 2. Is 4 that right? 5 Yes, sir. The Section C? 6 Q Yes. 7 Α Yes, sir. You didn't fill out any of the other parts of that? 8 9 The top part at Section A. Α You wrote in the type of gun it is? 10 Q Yes, sir. That's our part. 11 Α 12 Okay. 13 Because we're the ones that have the serial number and 14 everything there. 15 So you do Section A and C, but the purchase -- the buyer 16 does Section B. Is that what you're saying? 17 Yes, sir. Α And it has the -- the form has a couple pages of 18 19 instructions after the part that you fill out. Is that right? 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 Did you go over those instructions with Mr. -- with Jose? 22 Α No, sir. 23 Does the True Value gun section have videotape? 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 Was this video recorded? 0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

## Portillo - Cross 113 I mean, it would have been. Yes, sir. Α And what happened to that video? 0 Α I don't know how long they keep it. 0 But after a certain time, they get rid of them? Α Well, I don't know how that video stuff works. So you don't know if there's still a video of what happened? Q Α No, sir. In terms of the delay notification, you gave him a return date of December 30th of 2021. Is that right? Α Yes, sir. And he actually did what you told him. He came back on that 0 day. Is that right? Α Yes, sir. And you didn't call him to come in because you already told him when he could come in and get it. Is that right? Α What was that? Excuse me? No one had to call him to come get it because you'd already told him when he could come get it. Α Yes, sir. MR. MEREDITH: Pass the witness. THE COURT: Redirect. MR. ELLIS: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, sir. We appreciate you coming over. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

```
Anderson - Direct/Calve
                                                                     114
1
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, may this witness be excused?
 2
                MR. MEREDITH: Yes.
 3
           (Witness excused)
 4
                THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Ellis?
 5
                MR. ELLIS: United States calls Nate Anderson, Your
 6
      Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: All right. If you'll have him come on in.
 8
                MR. PORTILLO: May I leave?
                THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
 9
10
                MR. PORTILLO: Yes, sir.
11
                THE COURT: Sir, if you'd come on up.
12
                Mr. Calve, you may proceed whenever you're ready.
13
          NATE ANDERSON, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
14
                              DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
      BY MR. CALVE:
16
           Good morning, sir. Could you introduce yourself to the
17
      jury, please?
18
           Good morning. My name is Nate Anderson. I'm a Special
19
      Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and
20
     Explosives.
21
          And, sir, if you could just sit back just a little bit from
22
      the mic. Sorry. Appreciate that. So, and what did you say you
23
      do for a living, sir?
24
           I'm a Special Agent with the bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco,
25
      Firearms, and Explosives.
```

#### 115 Anderson - Direct/Calve 1 How long have you been in that position? Since June of 2016. 2 Α 3 Okay. And in addition to being a special agent, do you have 4 any particular role within the ATF? 5 Yes. I'm assigned to the Firearms Trafficking Group. 6 also a Certified Interstate Nexus Agent, and also a firearms 7 instructor for ATF. 8 What's an interstate nexus agent? So we attend a certification course where we're trained in 9 how to properly examine to identify firearms and ammunition to 10 11 determine the classification and origin of the firearms and 12 ammunition. And that goes towards determining a nexus if the 13 firearm and ammunition affected or traveled in interstate 14 commerce. 15 Okay. Well, let's break that down just a little bit. does it mean for a gun to have affected interstate commerce? 16 17 So interstate commerce is commerce between any place Α Okay. 18 in a state and any place outside of that state. 19 So for example, if a firearm's manufactured in the State of 20 Connecticut and then was purchased in Tennessee, that firearm 21 would have had to travel in interstate commerce for it to have 2.2 arrived in Tennessee. 23 So for example, if I have a gun here in Texas, and it was 24 manufactured in the State of Georgia, does that mean that that 25 gun would have had to cross state lines in order to get here?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

# 116 Anderson - Direct/Calve Yes, sir, it does. Does that mean, in your training and experience, that it affected interstate commerce? Α That's correct. Yes, sir. Is that what you call the nexus? Α Yes, sir. And you said -- did you say you completed the certification course in order to get that role? Yes, sir. The course is an ATF course. And on the very first day, you're required to take an exam, the very first thing. That goes over your knowledge of firearms and firearms laws. You have to pass that test in order to stay in the course. Once you 13 complete the course, before graduation you have to take a more extensive examination which I passed and graduated from. All right. And have you testified in federal court before as an expert in this area? Yes, sir, I have. Α MR. CALVE: Thank you, sir. Your Honor, we offer this witness as an expert in the field of interstate nexus. THE COURT: Mr. Meredith? MR. MEREDITH: No objection. THE COURT: The Court so finds. MR. CALVE: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. CALVE: So, sir, I just want to talk to you briefly about your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

## 117 Anderson - Direct/Calve involvement in this case --Α Yes, sir. -- the case against the defendant, Mr. Quiroz. At some point were you asked to conduct a nexus examination of some identifying information about a gun in connection with that case? Α Yes, I was. What were you asked to do? I was asked to examine a report written by another ATF interstate nexus expert as well as the ATF form 4473 firearms transaction record which contained the identifying information of a firearm. And what were you looking for when you did that? What were you trying to see? So we identified a firearm. In this instance, it was just the information we had. We didn't have the actual firearm to look at. It was the manufacturer's name, the model, the caliber, and the serial number. 18 Okay. And you said you reviewed the findings of another ATF nexus agent. Where is that person? He's currently on family leave. Α Is that why you were asked to come in --Α Yes, sir. -- and do this? 23 0 Α Yes, sir. 0 Okay. So did you actually get the identifying information

Anderson - Direct/Calve 118 for the gun involved in this case? 1 2 Α Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I did. 3 What was that qun? 4 It was a GSG or German Sports Gun, 1911, 22 caliber pistol. 5 It's manufactured in Germany and imported into the United States by American Tactical Inc., or ATI. 6 Was the serial number A907700? 7 0 8 Α Yes, sir. Sounds correct. Are you personally familiar with that kind of gun? 9 0 Yes, sir, very familiar. 10 Α 11 Why is that? 0 I personally own one. And I've also examined these before 12 13 in other investigations. 14 So based on your examination, did you determine whether if 15 there's one of those guns here in Texas, it had to cross state 16 lines in order to get here? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 All firearms, all 1911, 22 caliber pistols marketed and sold 19 by American Tactical are manufactured in Germany. ATI, American 20 Tactical, they do not manufacture 22 caliber 1911s themselves. 21 The company's located currently in Summerville, South 2.2 They manufacture 1911s in 9mm and 45 ACP. But all of 23 their 22 caliber are imported from Germany through GSG. 24 this firearm was imported, I communicated with the company I 25 believe it was in November of 2021.

```
Anderson - Cross
                                                                      119
           It was received in South Carolina. And they then
 1
 2
      transferred it to RSR Group which is a large wholesale firearms
 3
      corporation out of the State of Florida.
 4
           Thank you, sir.
 5
           So in your opinion, did you find that that gun, if it was in
 6
      Texas, affected interstate commerce, the nexus you were talking
 7
      about earlier?
 8
      Α
           Yes, sir, I did.
                            Thank you. Pass the witness, Your Honor.
 9
                MR. CALVE:
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, your witness.
10
11
                               CROSS-EXAMINATION
      BY MR. MEREDITH:
12
13
           And -- Morning, Agent.
14
           Good morning, sir.
      Α
15
           Why is moving or affecting interstate commerce important for
16
      someone, for federal gun legislation?
17
                MR. CALVE: Objection, beyond the scope of direct.
18
                THE COURT: I agree. Objection sustained.
      BY MR. MEREDITH:
19
20
           You said that you testified multiple times before as your
21
      expertise and experience on interstate commerce?
2.2
      Α
           Yes, sir, I have.
23
           With firearms?
      0
24
      Α
           Yes, sir.
25
      0
           How many times did you testify on -- where you were called
```

```
120
      by the defense to testify?
1
 2
           I never have.
 3
                MR. MEREDITH: Pass the witness.
 4
                MR. CALVE: Nothing further, Your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you, sir.
 6
                Mr. Meredith, may this witness be excused?
 7
                MR. MEREDITH: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you very much.
 9
                THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
           (Witness excused)
10
11
                THE COURT: Your next witness?
                MR. CALVE: Your Honor, may we briefly approach?
12
13
                THE COURT: Sure.
14
           (Bench conference at 11:18 a.m.)
15
                THE COURT: Outside the presence of the jury. Yes,
16
      sir?
17
                MR. CALVE: Your Honor, the only other witness we have
18
      is the agent who actually administered the photo lineup to the
19
      gun store worker. But if we can get a stipulation that -- yes,
20
           But if we can get a stipulation that actually the defendant
21
      was the one who went into the store, if there's no dispute about
2.2
      that, then we don't have to call him. We're just trying to speed
23
      this up.
24
                MR. MEREDITH: We'll stipulate to that.
25
                THE COURT: Okay.
                          WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

121 1 MR. CALVE: And that he was the one who signed the form 2 and filled it out. 3 MR. MEREDITH: Yes. 4 THE COURT: So do y'all want to --5 MR. MEREDITH: Not that he filled it out. 6 MR. CALVE: Well, that he answered the questions on the 7 form. 8 MR. MEREDITH: Some of it was filled out by him. THE COURT: We'll go on break and y'all work on that 9 because it needs to be I think in writing. So y'all can read it 10 11 or whatever you want. But it needs to be in writing so that everybody knows exactly what the stipulation is because I already 12 13 hear some --14 MR. CALVE: Okay. 15 So I'll give you, like, a ten-minute break THE COURT: and y'all bang that out. 16 17 MR. MEREDITH: Okay. 18 THE COURT: And you can call your witness. 19 MR. CALVE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 20 Thank you. THE COURT: 21 (Bench conference ends at 11:19 a.m.) 2.2 THE COURT: All right. So, ladies and gentlemen of the 23 jury, what I'm going to do is the attorneys are going to work on 24 something for the next ten minutes or so. It's going to take 25 them a little time. I don't want you all, and I don't want me to

be sitting here waiting on them. And so I'm going to give you that short break and we'll be back in here, I plan for 11:30.

2.2

Remember your instructions. You're going to leave your notebooks here of course. You're not talking about the case at all. Talk about how good the Cowboys are going to be next year because that's what I always talk about at this time of year is how good we're going to be next year. Thank you.

All right. Let's rise for the jury, please.
(Jury out at 11:20 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Outside the presence of the jury. We're going to take a short break. And the attorneys are going to work on this quick, very short stipulation. If y'all can agree to it, great. We'll do it. If you can't, you got a witness to call and I'll be back in ten minutes. Okay?

MR. CALVE: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And you can -- as long as you all write it out and agree to it, sign it, initial it, whatever, to me y'all can read that, introduce it, read it, whatever you want to do. It's whatever the parties want, just so y'all agree. If somebody disagrees as to one thing, even if it's a, you know, the word the in the wrong place, you know, let's just do it the old fashioned way. All right. Thank you, all.

THE DEPUTY: All rise.

(Recess at 11:21 a.m./Reconvened at 11:32 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury; defendant present)

```
123
                THE CLERK: All rise.
1
 2
                THE COURT: All right. Outside the presence of the
 3
      jury. Mr. Ellis, Mr. Calve, Mr. Meredith, we have a stipulation?
 4
                MR. CALVE: Yes we do, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Okay.
 5
 6
                MR. CALVE: We are just finishing signing it, and then
 7
      we're going to give it to the Defense for them to sign.
 8
                THE COURT: Go right ahead.
           (Pause)
 9
                MR. CALVE: Apologies, Your Honor. We're just --
10
                THE COURT: That's okay. No, y'all are almost done.
11
           (Pause)
12
13
                MR. CALVE: We're good, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Who do y'all want me to call on, Mr. Calve
15
      or Mr. Ellis?
                MR. CALVE: I can read it, Your Honor.
16
17
                THE COURT: Okay. Let's have the jury come in.
18
           (Jury in at 11:37 a.m.)
                THE COURT: Thank you. Let's be seated.
19
20
                Mr. Calve, your next witness?
21
                MR. CALVE: Your Honor, at this time, the United States
22
      will read a stipulation of fact that's been agreed to by both the
23
      parties in this case, Government's Exhibit 23 or Joint Exhibit 1.
24
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, any objection?
25
                MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.
```

124 Defense joins in this stipulation? 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. MEREDITH: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Calve, is it Government's Exhibit 23? 4 MR. CALVE: Government's Exhibit 23, yes. 5 THE COURT: That's admitted without objection and by 6 agreement. 7 (Government's Exhibit 23 admitted into evidence) 8 THE COURT: And you may read it, if you come on up. Stipulation of fact. The United States and 9 MR. CALVE: the defendant, Jose Gomez Quiroz, stipulate and agree to the 10 11 following facts. Number one, defendant is the individual who 12 came to Morrison True Value in connection with the acquisition of 13 a firearm. 14 Number two, defendant signed the ATF Form 4473 in 15 connection with the acquisition of the weapon. And number three, 16 Morrison True Value employee Michael Portillo identified the 17 defendant as the individual described herein. And it's signed by 18 the parties and the defendant. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Your next witness? 20 MR. ELLIS: The Government rests, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the 2.2 jury, I know you just got back in here, but the Government's 23 rested their case in chief. Now that means you've gotten all 24 their case in chief evidence. That doesn't mean the trial is 25 It doesn't mean you're receiving the case for deliberation

yet.

2.2

So I'm going to ask you to leave your notebooks here. Remember your instructions. I'm going to ask you to retire to the jury room. It won't be for very long, but I've got some quick business we have to take up and I don't want you sitting there waiting on us.

It will take five to ten minutes at the most. We'll have you right back in here. Thank you. Let's rise for the jury, please.

(Jury out at 11:39 a.m.)

THE COURT: Let's have a seat, please. Outside the presence of the jury.

The Government having rested, Mr. Meredith, does the Defense have a motion?

MR. MEREDITH: Move under Rule 29 for a judgment of acquittal, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The Court, reviewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the Government, taking all inferences in favor of the Government, resolving all issues of credibility in favor of the Government which is the standard of proof in a Rule 29 motion, at this time finds that a reasonable and rational juror could find the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of each of the elements set forth in the indictment. That would be in both counts. And I respectfully deny the motion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

126 Mr. Quiroz, if you and Mr. Meredith would come on up to the podium here, the lectern. Yeah, come on up. MR. MEREDITH: Can I have one second, Judge? THE COURT: Absolutely. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MEREDITH: Thank you. And, sir, you are Jose Gomez THE COURT: Quiroz, the defendant in this cause, right? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And you've been here for the trial that started this morning? You've been here for the entirety and --THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: -- you've heard and listened to everything? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Very good. As you have a right to do, and glad you were here. Every criminal defendant is privileged to testify in his own defense. Even though you have competent counsel, I advise you that the law provides that you as the accused in this case do not have to testify. If you choose not to testify, no one can or will hold that against you. Likewise, you have the right to testify. And no one can keep you from testifying if that's what you choose to do. Do you understand, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. If you choose to testify, the Government's

#### WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

allowed to cross-examine you just the same as any other witness,

of course. Do you understand that?

2.2

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you have previous convictions in the nature of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude that's not remote, then the Government would be entitled to ask you about those convictions, and in effect disclose those convictions to the jury. Do you understand, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In the event that you choose to testify and you have previous convictions that are made known to the jury,

I'll instruct the jury they are not to consider those convictions for any purpose except as they may bear on your credibility as a witness. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Likewise, you also have the right to remain silent, as I stated. In other words, you do not have to testify if you don't want to. And if you choose to remain silent, the Government cannot make you testify. They cannot call you as a witness or in any way force you to bear witness against yourself, nor can they do anything to call upon you to account for your failure to testify. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, if you choose not to testify, I'll instruct the jury they cannot use that against you in any way. They cannot speculate as to why you didn't testify or what you

128 might have said had you chosen to do so. Do you understand? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Quiroz, having gone over this 4 right with you, do you have any questions concerning your right 5 to testify or remain silent? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. You may have your seat, take 8 your seat. Mr. Meredith, your first witness will be? 9 MR. MEREDITH: Defense rests, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to bring them in. Defense 12 will rest on the record. Mr. Ellis, I'll ask you and you'll 13 Mr. Meredith, you'll close, and then I'll give them 14 instructions and send them to lunch. And we'll be looking at --15 so be looking at your -- Mr. Quiroz, you can sit down. Well, I 16 guess you're going to stand right back up. 17 Yes, sir? 18 MR. MEREDITH: I was just going to ask you, do I need 19 to do another Rule 29 when we don't have witnesses? I guess I'll 20 do it just in --21 THE COURT: Right. 2.2 MR. MEREDITH: -- caution. 23 THE COURT: Once I send them out of here, that's when I 24 would do it again. Either then or before we argue. 25 that's up to you, though. I'm not trying to advise you.

```
129
      just saying I think --
1
                MR. MEREDITH: I'll do it whenever --
 2
 3
                THE COURT: I just don't want you to do it in front of
 4
      te jury.
                That's all.
                MR. MEREDITH: That's what I'm --
 5
                THE COURT: Yeah, because I'm going to take -- I'm
 6
 7
      going to send them right back out of here to go to lunch.
 8
                MR. MEREDITH:
                               Okay.
 9
                THE COURT: And then after that, we're going to stay
10
      here for a few minutes. You'll be able to do it then.
                MR. MEREDITH: Will we get a few minutes to write some
11
12
      closing stuff before --
                THE COURT: Yeah, of course.
13
                MR. MEREDITH: -- we go straight into closing? Okay.
14
15
      I didn't want to do it right then.
16
                MR. ELLIS: No, I thought we were doing it right now.
17
                THE COURT:
                            I'm going to send them to lunch. I'm not
18
      going to make y'all go into closing.
19
                MR. MEREDITH: Okay.
20
                THE COURT: All right. Let's rise for the jury,
21
      please.
2.2
           (Jury in at 11:44 p.m.)
23
                           All right. Please be seated.
                                                           Thank y'all.
                THE COURT:
24
                All right.
                            The Government having rested their case,
25
     Mr. Meredith, your first witness, sir?
```

MR. MEREDITH: Defense rests, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense having rested, Mr. Ellis?

MR. ELLIS: Government closes.

THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?

MR. MEREDITH: The Defense closes.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, so we're not just trying to help you get your steps in today going back and forth because I just have to react to what the attorneys decide to do. So both sides have rested and closed their case.

What that means is they've now given you everything. You have everything to deliberate. But you're not going to deliberate yet. And the reason for that, of course, is I'm going to give you the lunch break. We're not going to starve you to death. You look hungry, and I think you ought to go eat lunch.

And then when you come back -- and we're going to stay here and work while y'all are gone. Now, we're going to take a lunch break too, but I'm going to work them a little while early, and I might have them come back early. So we'll be out of your way.

When you're coming and going, there won't be lawyers, you know, in the hallways or anything. If there are, just look the other way when y'all pass each other. Don't make it look like you are talking.

Couple of things. You're going to, of course, leave

your notebooks here. You're going to remember your instructions. You're going to, when you leave, you're going to take off your juror buttons, badges. You can leave them in your chair here, or you can leave them back in the jury room.

2.2

We want to be able to identify you as jurors here in the building. But I don't want people at restaurants targeting you because you're a juror in a case. I mean, obviously that wouldn't be smart. So be sure and, you know, put them back on when you come back. And like I said, if you want to secure — anything you leave here will be secure. Anything you leave in the jury room will be secure.

You can go to lunch. You can go to lunch together even. That's okay. You can talk about just about anything. You just can't talk about the case yet. Don't even talk about how good-looking the judge is because -- I finally got y'all to laugh. Well, some of you. Some of you didn't laugh. Some of you still said that's just silly.

But I will say the only reason I say that, and I tell every jury that, and my staff gets sick of hearing it. But the point is if you say something even that silly and assumingly innocuous, the next thing you know you're talking about the trial. So don't even go there. Like I say, I always talk about how good the Cowboys are going to be next year, or the Rangers, any teams that I like they're going to be good next year because they're not presently good.

Couple of things. Remember until the trial is over, you're not to discuss the case with anyone, including your fellow jurors. If anyone approaches you and tries to talk to you about the case, advise me about it immediately. Do not read or listen to any news reports of the trial o ruse any technology tools to do independent research.

2.2

That's never happened here where people have posted on social media or texted people about the case or anything like that. Let's don't have a first. We don't need to do that. That's happened elsewhere. I don't want it to happen here. There's no reason for it. This trial's almost over. Let's get to the end of it, and when you're released from your oath then you can talk to anybody and everybody you want to.

And I know you all were selected a few days ago, and you came back. So you've already told the people who need to know where you are. It's not like you need to call and tell them. If we had jury selection this morning I'd say look, if you need to tell some people where you are, that's fine. But everybody knows not to bother you until the trial's over. We all know that, about the facts.

Remember to keep an open mind until the -- until I tell you you're retiring to deliberate. Finally, don't speak to anyone around the courthouse anywhere around the courthouse other than your fellow jurors or court personnel. If I see you, I'm going to say hi. And that's okay.

So I'm going to give you a little extra time because we do have some work to do. I don't want you all sitting here waiting on. You can come back any time you want. In fact, you don't have to leave. You can stay in the jury room, it's up to you. But we got snacks and stuff in there I think. I'm not sure how good the snacks are.

2.2

Felix, have you tried them? You haven't tried them?

The snacks, you haven't tried them? Okay. All right. So it's ten 'til 12. Yes, ma'am? Were you going to say something?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was just looking at the time.

THE COURT: Now yesterday we had the parade. And so I know that the restaurants were jammed. People were telling me they were waiting to get out, but I heard they were bad. If you'll be back -- I'll give you to 1:30. Okay? That seems like a long time, I know. That's not too bad. That's really not too bad because we're going to be rolling.

Once you all get back, we're going to be ready to go. We got to have all 14 of you. So if you're number 13 of 14, you know, whatever, if you're thinking oh I'm late but they can start without me, we cannot. The 14 of you and me all have to be here to start, to start back up.

So what we'll do when we come back, just real quick, when you come back, you'll find in your chair, in addition to your notebook if that's where you've left it, a copy of the Court's charge. It will say Court's instruction to the jury.

Pick it up, sit down. Don't start reading it yet. I'll give you some -- I'm going to read it to you.

2.2

I'm required to read it to you. I know you know how to read. But you're also going to have your copy. You can read along with me if you want. It's your copy meaning you can highlight, circle, mark, x out, whatever you want to do. And you can take that back to the jury room when you go to deliberate.

We'll take all that stuff up before you leave. We'll shred all that so there's no sensitive information that gets out. But that way, you can do that. Some people don't like to read along, and they like to just auditory listen, you know, auditory learner, is that what they call it? And they set it aside and just sit there and listen. Whatever's good for you.

Nobody's going to tell you how to do it. It's your decision. So I'll read through it. When I'm done, the attorneys are going to argue their case, different from the opening statement this morning which is just to tell you what we expect and what the parties expect the evidence to be, sort of the roadmap.

This is going to be argument. This is their final summation. They get to argue and they get to try and persuade you certain points and areas and things. The Government, by virtue of having the burden of proof, has the right to open and then do a rebuttal argument. Mr. Meredith will be in the middle.

So the Government will open their arguments first, and

then Mr. Meredith will make his argument, and in doing so will rebut what the Government -- attempt to rebut what the Government said. And then the Government gets a much shorter time to rebut, you know, the final things. They each have, each side has the same amount of time total. It's just that the Government gets to split theirs up because they have the burden of proof. All right. Some preliminary thoughts on that.

Have a good lunch. We'll be back here waiting whenever you're ready and lined up. Felix, you all let me know. At 1:30, we plan to start again. Any questions? Anybody have any issues? No questions? All right. Let's rise for the jury. You have a great lunch.

(Jury out at 11:51 a.m.)

2.2

THE COURT: Let's be seated, please.

All right. The Government and Defense having closed their cases, Mr. Meredith, do you want to make another motion?

MR. MEREDITH: The Defense moves for judgment of acquittal under Rule 29, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. The Court will continue its ruling denying that motion for the same reasons given.

All right. Ms. Salas has the most current charge. She's going to hand them out to you. This shouldn't take us very long. So I want you all to take a few minutes and look at it. It's the same thing she sent you on June 9th. This is June 23rd. So you all have had a couple of weeks.

136 A few different things on there. We've got the 1 2 stipulation, the statement that the defendant did not testify. 3 We've got an expert, we've got some expert language. Let's see. 4 And I think it's pretty similar to what -- except for that, I 5 think it's pretty similar to what you've had, what you have. 6 So take a few minutes and let me know when you're ready 7 to discuss it, and we'll go forward with the charge conference. 8 (Pause) THE COURT: Everybody ready? Everybody looks ready. 9 All right. Who's going to argue for the Government? Anybody? 10 11 Mr. Ellis or Mr. Calve, who's going to argue? Mr. Ellis? MR. ELLIS: No, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: I guess my question of you would be you've 14 read the Court's proposed to instructions. Any objection to it? 15 MR. ELLIS: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Any additions you'd request? 17 MR. ELLIS: The Government was discussing if it would 18 be proper to instruct the jury as to what an indictment actually 19 is since we felt it was as if we tried to explain it would be 20 explaining the law. And we --21 THE COURT: Do you have any authority that tells me I 2.2

-- or a pattern or anything, anything written up that I can give them that you propose?

23

24

25

MR. ELLIS: Not right this second, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, what do you think of that?

```
137
                MR. MEREDITH: We would object to that, Judge.
1
 2
                THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection.
 3
      Government had filed a motion, I'm not sure when it was filed,
 4
      for a proposed jury instructions as to arraignment. Basically
 5
      the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure provisions for as to
 6
      arraignment, waiver of arraignment, time of arraignment. Is the
 7
      Government still pushing that --
 8
                MR. ELLIS: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: -- pursuing that? I shouldn't say pushing.
 9
      So those are withdrawn. Government seems to be happy then?
10
11
                MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, what about you?
12
13
                MR. MEREDITH:
                              Defense is happy with the instructions.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. No requests, additions, and no
15
      objections?
16
                MR. MEREDITH: None, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Excellent. Okay. How much time do you all
17
18
      want to argue?
                MR. ELLIS: Twelve minutes, Your Honor.
19
20
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, do you agree with that, or do
21
      you want 15?
2.2
                MR. MEREDITH:
                              I'll do 12 or less, Judge.
23
                THE COURT:
                           Twelve? Okay. All right. So, Mr. Ellis,
24
      how are you breaking yours up? If you are. You don't have to
25
     break it up.
```

138 1 MR. ELLIS: The Government won't break it up. Six and 2 six. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Six and six. And who's going to do 4 -- are you going to do both? 5 MR. ELLIS: I'll do both. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want a warning on that first 7 six? 8 MR. ELLIS: Yes. Yes, I would appreciate that, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a warning at five. 11 That's pretty quick. And then when you stand up to rebut -- I'll 12 tell you what. I think you got to fully open, so you got to go 13 at least six, okay, or I take it off of your other end because 14 I'm not going to let you, you know, some prosecutors in your past 15 might have tried to do two and then ten, you know, put all their 16 meat in the rebuttal which isn't fair. 17 And so I'd say you're probably better off going seven and five because if you take off -- if you're short of six, 18 19 you're going to lose minutes on the other end or seconds on the 20 other end. 21 MR. ELLIS: That works for the Government, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Seven and five. I'm just thinking out 23 And so with seven, I'll give you a notice at six. 24 you'll know you got a minute left. And you'll know too once I 25 give you that warning that you're past your halfway point and

you're not going to get anything deducted.

2.2

When you stand back up, I'll do my best to remember to tell you exactly how much time you have left. Okay?

MR. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that way I think that'll be the way that works. Mr. Meredith, do you want a warning at, like, ten minutes or eleven? Or none at all?

MR. MEREDITH: Better to have one at one minute before.

THE COURT: One minute? Yes, sir.

MR. MEREDITH: It probably won't be necessary, but just in case.

THE COURT: So I'll warn you at 11. And y'all know how this works. On the front end, Mr. Ellis, if you just keep going, say you decide to just keep going and you can't stop yourself or you can't help yourself and you get to 12 and you're done, that's up to you. You can use it however you want. Once I warn you, I'm not going to stop you. It just comes off of your other end.

But once we get to the end, I'll give you all a ten-second countdown. And then once we get to the -- when I say stop, we stop. Not a syllable more. Some people don't understand what a syllable is I think, but not a syllable more. It's the only way I can make it fair which is my only purpose here is to have a fair trial.

So we're going to stop at that. I used to be able to say I only had one person ever violate that. Now I've had two.

```
140
      And it's particularly upsetting to the jury I think when that
1
 2
      happens because I get mad, and they see how mad I get and they
 3
      don't like it I've been told. But that's up to you all, though.
 4
                All right. With that, let's make sure we give Mr.
 5
      Quiroz something to eat. I hope you all do, too. I would like
 6
      you all back at 1:20. That gives you an hour and 20 minutes.
 7
      That's a long lunch. This is a luxury y'all don't usually have,
 8
      none of you usually have.
 9
                Back at 1:20 just in case we have any issues, and then
      no later than 1:30. So whenever we have all 14 after 1:20.
10
      know I told them 1:30, but if they're all back, we're going to
11
      start. Okay? So 1:20 on, be prepared to go. Okay?
12
13
                Anything, Mr. Ellis, anything more you want to take up?
14
                MR. ELLIS: Not from the Government, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
16
                MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Great. Thank you, all. See you after
      lunch.
18
                THE DEPUTY: All rise.
19
20
           (Recess at 12:02 p.m./Reconvened at 1:24 p.m.)
21
           (Outside the presence of the jury; defendant present)
2.2
                THE COURT: All right. So we're outside the presence
23
                  We're waiting on them to get back from lunch.
      of the jury.
24
      think we had 11. We're still waiting on three.
25
                Mr. Ellis, did you need to take up anything before we
```

141 begin? 1 2 MR. ELLIS: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Meredith? 4 MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 5 6 (Pause) 7 THE COURT: One person's on the way. We ready? We got 8 them all? All right. Let's rise for the jury, please. 9 (Jury in at 1:29 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. Let's be seated, please. 10 11 Thanks. 12 You'll notice in your chair, as I told you you would 13 have, you've got a copy of the Court's instructions to the jury. 14 And put your badges back on please and get ready to go. 15 a reading test for me. I can see how I'm doing. And I'll be as 16 efficient as I can. Members of the jury, in any jury trial there are in 17 18 effect two judges. I'm one of the judges. The other's the jury. 19 It's my duty to preside over the trial and to decide what 20 evidence is proper for your consideration. It's also my duty at 21 the end of the trial to explain to you the rules of law that you 2.2 must follow and apply at arriving at your verdict. 23 First I'll give you some general instructions which 24 apply in every case, for example instructions about burden of 25 proof and how to judge the believability of witnesses. Then I'll

give you some specific rules of law about this particular case.

And finally, I'll explain to you the procedures you should follow in your deliberations.

2.2

You as jurors are the judges of the facts. But in determining what actually happened, that is in reaching your decision as to the facts, it is your sworn duty to follow all the rules of law as I explain them to you. You have no right to disregard or give special attention to any one instruction, or to question the wisdom or correctness of any rule I may state to you.

You must not substitute or follow your own notion or opinion as to what the law is or ought to be. It is your duty to apply the law as I explain it to you regardless of the consequences. It's also your duty to base your verdict solely upon the evidence without prejudice or sympathy.

You are to decide this case only on the evidence which has been admitted into court during trial. That was the promise you made, the oath you took before being accepted by the parties as jurors, and they have the right to expect nothing less.

The indictment or formal charge against the defendant is not evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The defendant begins with a clean slate. The law does not require the defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whatever may be drawn from the election of the defendant not to testify.

The Government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so you must acquit the defendant. While the Government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary that the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt.

2.2

2.3

It's only required that the Government's proof exclude any reasonable doubt concerning the Defendant's guilt. A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and partial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in making the most important decisions of your own affairs.

As I told you earlier, it's your duty to determine the facts. To do so, you must consider only the evidence presented during the trial. Evidence is the sworn testimony of the witnesses including stipulations and the exhibits. The questions, statements, objections, and arguments made by the lawyers are not evidence.

The function of the lawyers is to point out those things that are most significant or most helpful to their side of the case, and in so doing to call your attention to certain facts or inferences that might otherwise escape your notice. In the final analysis, however, it is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls in the case. What

the lawyers say is not binding upon you.

2.2

During the trial, I sustained objections to certain questions. You must disregard those questions entirely. Do not speculate as to what the witness would have said if permitted to answer the question. Your verdict must be based solely on the legally admissible evidence and testimony.

Also, do not assume from anything I may have done or said during the trial that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in the case. Except for the instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own verdict.

In considering the evidence, you're permitted to draw such reasonable inferences from the testimony and exhibits as you feel are justified in the light of common experience. In other words, you may make deductions and reach conclusions that reason and common sense lead you to draw from the facts which have been established by the evidence.

Do not be concerned about whether the evidence is direct evidence or circumstantial evidence. You should consider and weigh all the evidence that was presented to you. Direct evidence is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain of events and circumstances indicting that something is or is not a fact.

The law makes no distinction between the weight to be

given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. But the law requires that you, after weighing all of the evidence whether direct or circumstantial, be convinced of the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt before you can find him guilty.

2.2

I'll remind you that it's your job to decide whether the Government has proved the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. In doing so, you must consider all of the evidence. This does not mean, however, that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate.

You are the sole judges of the credibility or believability of each witness and the weight to be given to each witness' testimony. An important part of your job will be making judgments about the testimony of the witnesses who testified in this case. You should decide whether you believe all, some part, or none of what each person had to say and how important that testimony was.

In making that decision, I suggest that you ask yourself some questions. Did the witness impress you as honest. Did the witness have any particular reason not to tell the truth. Did the witness have a personal interest in the outcome of the case. Did the witness have any relationship with either the Government or the Defense.

Did the witness seem to have a good memory. Did the witness clearly see or hear the things about which he or she

testified. Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly. Did the witness' testimony differ from the testimony of other witnesses. These are a few of the considerations that will help you determine the accuracy of what each witness said.

2.2

Your job is to think about the testimony of each witness you have heard, decide whether -- and decide, I'm sorry, and decide how much you believe of what each witness had to say. In making up your mind and reaching a verdict, do not make any decisions simply because there were more witnesses on one side than on the other.

Do not reach a conclusion on a particular point just because there were more witnesses testifying from one side on that point. You will always bear in mind that the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden or duty of calling any witnesses or producing any evidence.

During the trial you heard the testimony of Special Agent Nate Anderson who expressed opinions concerning the examination of the firearm identifiers in this case and interstate nexus. Scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge might assist the jury in understanding the evidence or in determining a fact in issue. A witness qualified by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify and state an opinion concerning such matters.

Merely because such a witness has expressed an opinion

does not mean, however, that you must accept this opinion. You should judge such testimony like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, and all other evidence in the case.

2.2

You are here to decide whether the Government's proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of any of the crimes charged. The defendant is not on trial for any act, conduct, or offense not alleged in the indictment. Neither are you called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt of any other person or persons not on trial as a defendant in this case except as you are otherwise instructed.

A separate crime is charged in each count in the indictment. Each count and the evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately. The fact that you may find the defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the crimes charged should not control your verdict as to any other.

If the defendant's found guilty, it will be my duty to decide what the punishment will be. You should not be concerned with punishment in any way. It should not enter your consideration or discussion.

You will note that the indictment charges that the offenses were committed on or about a specified date. The Government does not have to prove that the crimes were committed

on those exact dates so long as the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed the crimes on dates reasonably near December 23rd, 2021 and December 30th, 2021, the dates stated in the indictment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The indictment contains multiple counts which read as Count 1, that on or about December 23rd, 2021, in the Western District of Texas, Defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz, in connection with the acquisition of a firearm, to wit an American Tactical Imports model M1911 semiauto 22 caliber firearm serial number A907700 from DBA Morrison True Value, a licensed dealer of firearms within the meaning of Chapter 44 Title 18 United States Code, knowingly made a false and fictitious written statement to DBA Morrison True Value located at 301 North 5th Street, Alpine, Texas 79830 which statement was intended and likely to deceive DBA Morrison True Value as to the fact -- to a fact material to the unlawfulness of such acquisition of the firearm to the defendant under Chapter 44 of Title 18 in that the defendant did execute a Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives Form 4473, Firearms Transaction Record to the effect that on Question 21B, defendant indicated he was not under indictment for a felony when in fact he knew he was under two Pecos County, Texas felony indictments in violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 922(a)(6) and 924(a)(2).

Count 2, that on or about December 30th, 2021, in the Western District of Texas, the Defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz,

knowingly was under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year did willfully receive a firearm, that is an American Tactical Imports Model M1911 semiauto 22 caliber firearm serial number 8907700, said firearm having been shipped and transported in interstate commerce in violation of Title 18 U.S. Code Section 922(n) and 924(a)(1)(B).

2.2

2.3

Title 18 United States Code Sections 922(a)(6) and 924(a)(2) make it a crime for anyone to knowingly make a false statement to a firearms dealer in order to buy a firearm. For you to find the defendant guilty of Count 1, you must be convinced that the Government has proved the following beyond a reasonable doubt.

First, that the defendant made false or fictitious written statement. Second, that the defendant knew the statement was false. Third, the defendant's statement was made in connection with the acquisition of a firearm from a licensed firearm dealer. Fourth, that the statement was intended or was likely to deceive a licensed firearm dealer. And fifth, that the alleged false statement was material to the lawfulness of the sale or disposition of the firearm.

The term firearm means any weapon that will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive. The term firearm also includes the frame or receiver of any such weapon or any firearm muffler or firearm silencer or destructive device.

A statement is false or fictitious if it was untruly made and was then known to be untrue by the person making it. A false statement is likely to deceive if the nature of the statement, considering all of the surrounding circumstances at the time it is made, is such that a reasonable person of ordinary prudence would have been actually deceived or misled.

2.2

2.3

Title 18 United States Code Sections 922(n) and 924(a)(1)(D) make it a crime for anyone who is under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year to receive a firearm which has been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce.

For you to find the defendant guilty of Count 2, you must be convinced that the Government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt.

First, that the defendant knowingly received a firearm as charged.

Second, that before the Defendant received the firearm, the defendant had been indicted in a court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term in excess of one year.

Third, that at the time the defendant knowingly received a firearm, the defendant knew he was under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term in excess of one year.

Fourth, that the defendant acted wilfully, that is with knowledge that his conduct was unlawful.

And fifth, that the receipt of the firearm was in and affecting interstate commerce, that is that before the defendant received the firearm, it had traveled at some time from one state to another or from a foreign country to the United States.

2.2

The word knowingly, as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally, not because of mistake or accident. It is reasonable to infer that a person ordinarily intends the natural and probable consequences of his knowing acts.

The jury may draw the inference that the accused intended all the consequences which one standing in like circumstances and possessing like knowledge should reasonably have expected to result from any intentional act or conscious omission. Any such inference drawn is entitled to be considered by the jury in determining whether or not the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant possessed the required criminal intent.

To reach a verdict, whether it's guilty or not guilty, all of you must agree. Your verdict must be unanimous on each count of the indictment. Your deliberations will be secret. You will never have to explain your verdict to anyone. It's your duty to consult with one another and to deliberate in an effort to reach agreement if you can do so.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only

after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors. During your deliberations, do not hesitate to reexamine your own opinions and change your mind if convinced that you were wrong. But do not give up your honest beliefs as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose for returning a verdict.

2.2

Remember at all times you are the judges of the facts. Your duty is to decide whether the Government has proved the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. When you go to the jury room, the first thing that you should do is select one of your number as your foreperson who will help to guide your deliberations and will speak for you here in the courtroom.

A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience. The foreperson will write the unanimous answer of the jury in the space provided for each count of the indictment, either guilty or not guilty. At the conclusion of your deliberations the foreperson should date and sign the verdict.

One document, one sheet that you do not have that I have that we will send it, we'll send in one verdict form. So you don't have that in your instructions. We'll send this in after when y'all go in there. And it says, basically a very simple verdict form, answer not guilty or guilty.

Count 1, we the jury find that defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz is, and there's a blank. And underneath it says not guilty or guilty. The foreperson will write in not guilty or

guilty, whatever the unanimous verdict of the jury is. And to finish that sentence, it says of the offense charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

2.2

Count 2 says exactly the same thing, we the jury find that defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz is blank, not guilty or guilty which will be written by the foreperson, of the offense charged in Count 2 of the indictment.

There's a place to date and sign for the foreperson. When that's completed, there will be an envelope in there just a bit larger than the verdict form. You slide that one verdict form into the envelope. Not the entire instructions, just the verdict form. Slide that in there and seal the envelope.

The foreperson will then maintain control and possession of the envelope that has the verdict form in it. You'll notify the court security officer standing outside the door that the jury has reached a unanimous verdict. We'll get everybody back in here. We'll bring you in once we're all here and ready, and the foreperson would carry in, bring in the verdict form in the envelope, sealed envelope.

With that, I'm going to recognize Mr. Ellis to begin our closing arguments. Mr. Ellis?

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT

MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, remember why we're here. We're here because of a lie and then a buy. We're here

because the defendant lied on the ATF Form 4473 in an attempt to acquire a firearm when he knew he was under indictment. willingly and knowingly accepted the firearm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Count 1 of the first charge charges, or requires that the Government prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements. And the Government has given you the evidence to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant did commit this crime.

Count 1 charges the defendant with making a false statement to a firearms dealer. The Government has proved in each of these elements as such. First, the defendant made a false or fictitious written statement. How do we know? We've provided you multiple copies of the 112th Judicial District's Clerk of Court paperwork showing that the defendant was in fact under two felony indictments.

That's a fact. He was under indictment. This element does not include a knowing aspect. This is was he under indictment. And he was under indictment. Additionally, we read to the jury a stipulation of fact that the defendant admits that he was the person that was in the true value store.

Additionally, he admits that he signed the form.

And you know because you've seen the form and you'll have it during your deliberations that the form states I certify that all my responses in Section b of this form are still true, correct, and complete.

Second, the defendant knew the statement was false. How do we know? We've provided you documentation showing the arraignment transcript from the 112th Judicial District Court. And in that transcript, he is admonished by the Court that he faces a sentence of 2 to 20 years. And he stated on the record that he understood that. That's a fact. And it's been shown to you, and you will have the transcript to review.

2.2

Third, the statement was made in connection with the acquisition of a firearm from a licensed firearm dealer. How do we know that's true? You heard the testimony from the True value employee that True Value is indeed a licensed firearm dealer. You also heard testimony from Agent Armendariz that they are indeed a licensed firearm dealer.

Fourth, the statement was intended or was likely to deceive a licensed firearm dealer. How do we know this is true? Because the only reason why the defendant was able to actually receive this firearm is because he was untruthful in answering that question. Had he put yes, there would have been no delay. There would have been no waiting period. He would have immediately been told he could not get the firearm. By saying no, he was allowed to wait the three-day period and come back and receive the firearm.

Fifth, the alleged false statement was material to the lawfulness of the sale or disposition of the firearm. And how do we know this? As I previously stated, the false statement alone

is why he was able to take possession of the firearm before the FBI background check could deny him. And the employee relied on that statement on question 21B when he allowed him to take possession of the firearm after the three-day waiting period.

2.2

2.3

Count 2 charges the defendant with receipt of a firearm by a person under indictment. And the Government has again proven all of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt. First, the defendant knowingly received a firearm. How do we know this? This is a fact. There's no interpretation here.

Three days later, the defendant returns to the store and signs a second time on the ATF form when he received the firearm. And again, above his signature, it says I certify that all my responses in Section B of this form are still true, correct, and complete. He received the firearm on that date and there is his signature proving it.

Second, before the defendant received the firearm, the defendant had been indicted in a court for a crime punishable for a term in excess of one year. How do we know this? Again, we have provided multiple court documents showing that he was in fact indictment for two separate felonies in the State of Texas.

And again, that Court transcript, he stated on the record that he understood that he was facing a sentence of 2 to 20 years. Two to twenty years is longer than one year. The Government has proven that.

Third, at the time the defendant knowingly received the

firearm, the defendant knew he was under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term in excess of one year. Sounds very similar to the last one. He had to know before he did it, and he had to know after he did it at the time that he did it.

2.2

Again, how do we know? The same exact facts for the second element apply to the third. The arraignment transcript, it's black and white. It's recorded. A reporter is typing it as he says it. And it's certified from the State of Texas that he was there, he was present, and he stated he understood the type of sentence that he was facing, 2 to 20 years.

THE COURT: Mr. Ellis, you're at six minutes.

MR. ELLIS: Fourth, the defendant acted willfully, that is with knowledge that his conduct was unlawful. How do we know that? You heard testimony from the agents that they spoke with his mother. And his mother told them that he had their business card. When he offered the business card for him to reach out, she said he already has it.

He never answered his phone call, any of the phone calls. He was never at the residence when they went by to visit him. And based on the training and experience of law enforcement officers, they believe he was trying to evade law enforcement. That shows he knew he had done something wrong.

A reasonable person would want to find out why the ATF is coming, knocking on their door. Why is this guy looking for

me. A simple phone call could have cleared it up, and he refused to do it.

Fifth, the receipt was in and affecting interstate commerce. How do we know this? You heard the testimony of the ATF expert nexus witness that the gun was manufactured in Germany and was imported and shift to the State of Texas. That's a fact.

Therefore, the Government respectfully requests you to find the defendant guilty for Count 1 and guilty for Count 2. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ellis.

Mr. Meredith?

2.2

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE

MR. MEREDITH: Judge, ladies and gentlemen. So this is a federal case. It's not a state case. This is a case about someone who is under indictment and receives a firearm while they're under indictment or lies about it on the documents required to be able to get the firearm.

He isn't -- it' snot about a person who was convicted of anything. This is just about a person who's been indicted.

I'm not going to go through too much detail to the jury instructions. I just wanted to highlight a couple of things that Mr. Ellis also mentioned.

And in the false statement to arms dealer, when you get to looking at the different elements in that section, it's going to say the defendant made a false or fictitious written

statement. And that's defined at the bottom as that it was known to be untrue by the person who's making it. So there's that word known. The second thing is that the defendant knew the statement was false, which is kind of another way of saying the other part of it.

2.2

The receipt of a firearm, you notice it's not possession of a firearm because under this law if you already possess a firearm and it's in your closet, and then you get indicted, it's not illegal for you to still have it. It's illegal for you to receive it anew. It's illegal for you to transport it. But it's not illegal for you to just possess it. So that's why it makes it this is a kind of a unique law that they have.

The knowing aspects of the receipt of a firearm by a person under indictment was that he knowingly received the firearm, and that he knew he was under indictment by a crime, a felony, basically a sentence up to over one year. The other part is that it was willful and he had the knowledge that the conduct was unlawful. And I'm going to come back to that. I think that's a key part of it.

The Government went through the exhibits, and I'm just going to do it, skim over them. You've heard them talked about four or five times already. The basic problem with the Government's case is the documents that they have that mention the word indictment don't have any evidence that my client

received that document.

2.2

2.3

The documents that my client signed don't use the word indictment. They don't have any documents in which my client signed a document that has the word indictment on it. The bond papers, the two bond papers that were filled out that my client did sign, they never said indicted or under indictment. They say charged with.

The discovery letters, that's the letters to the lawyer in the state cases say that it's carrying the indictment in those letters, but it just says that it was delivered to my client's lawyer, not that he ever gave my client a copy of it or that they ever had any communication at all. The notice of setting of the trial for the state cases doesn't have anything about indictment on it, or that my client ever got a copy of that either.

The transcript of the arraignment which we talked about a lot, and the reason that it's important is that this is a judge advising a person accused of a crime what his crime is. And I think it's really significant that the Judge Gomez in this case chose not to use the word indictment.

He never uses the word indictment. So there's really no -- or difficult for me to infer from that that this is something that would make either my client Mr. Quiroz aware that he was under indictment, or even much less likely that he knew what that meant.

There are two documents, the one is the capias. That's

the arrest warrant. And the other one is the precept to serve which were by the gentlemen who worked at the Pecos County Jail. And he had no direct experience about that indictment ever being handed to my client.

2.2

And basically, he said, his testimony was that's the way we do it, but that he didn't give him a copy or see anyone else give him a copy of the indictment. And this is really only like half of the equation whether he got an indictment or not.

I'd argue that there's not sufficient evidence to say that he ever was in possession of an indictment.

But the other half of it is that he had to know what indictment meant. And the Government didn't produce one word on one document or one statement by any witness that would give the idea that my client knew what the word indictment meant.

He went to pick up the gun after he bought it online. He filled out the form. He put his address on there, his real address on there. He was told come back in three days. He waited three days, he came back, and he got the gun. He did what he was told, and he got the gun.

And so back to when we first saw each other and we talked about presumption of innocence and reasonable doubt. This is where we're back to that. Reasonable doubt is the highest of all the standards that a jury will get in terms of levels of proof.

There's preponderance of the evidence which is

basically how people make decisions in their lives with things that aren't super important that will say oh yeah, okay, probably that happened. I'll do that. Let's go that way. There's clear and convincing evidence which is higher than preponderance. And that's something that's highly probable that it happened.

And then above that, above everything else is beyond a reasonable doubt. And I think after looking at the evidence that the Government presented, and especially the lack of evidence that the Government presented, you'll return verdicts of not guilty in both counts.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Meredith.

Mr. Ellis, you have four and a half minutes if you choose to take it.

MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Certainly.

2.2

REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT

MR. ELLIS: Ladies and gentlemen, I just wanted to touch on one note. The evidence that the defendant received the indictments is in the officer's return on the precepts to serve. We showed it to you. We have it. Exhibit 13. Make sure you review this when you go back. In the officer's return it states, and it's attested to by the deputy, a certified copy of the indictment was served. It was given.

The Defense wants you to believe that the only way a person can acknowledge of something is if he signs a document

showing he received it. That's not true. You can be told you're under indictment. You can be in court. You can be read an indictment. You don't have to sign anything. But you would have knowledge that you are under indictment.

Government rests, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you. If you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, the foreperson should write the message and give it to the Court security officer. I'll either reply in writing or bring you back into court to answer your message.

Bear in mind that you're never to reveal to any person, not even to the Court, how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on any count in the indictment until after you have reached a unanimous verdict.

As you go, I ask all 14 of you to go back into the jury room. We're going to end up saying goodbye to our alternates. And we can never get to this point without you, and we typically have to use one or both of you. So, or oftentimes at least. So I'm going to ask you if you'd go back into the jury room with the jury, collect any personal belongings that you have, and then come out the other side and I'll have you come back into chambers and just visit with you for two, three short minutes.

Now you may take your notebooks if you wish to do so.

And let's rise as this jury retires to deliberate. Thank you.

(Jury retires to deliberate at 2:04 p.m.)

## WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

```
164
                THE COURT: All right. Outside the presence of the
1
 2
            Mr. Ellis, anything you want to take up?
 3
                MR. ELLIS: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: Mr. Meredith?
 5
                MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. If you'd stay
 6
 7
      pretty close, please.
 8
           (Recess at 2:04 p.m./Reconvened at 2:25 p.m.)
           (Outside the presence of the jury; defendant present)
 9
                THE CLERK: All rise.
10
                THE COURT: All right. I'm told we have a verdict.
11
12
      We've got all the parties. Mr. Quiroz is here. Regardless of
      the verdict, no outward display of emotion. Let's bring the jury
13
14
      in please.
15
           (Jury in at 2:25 p.m.)
16
                THE COURT: All right. Let's be seated, please.
17
      you.
18
                Speaking through your foreperson, sir, if you'd rise.
19
      Has the jury reached a verdict?
20
                JURY FOREPERSON: They have reached a verdict, Your
21
      Honor.
2.2
                THE COURT: If you'll hand that to the court security
23
      officer.
                You may have a seat. Thank you very much.
24
                Would the defendant please rise?
25
                THE CLERK: In PE:22-CR-104, the United States of
                         WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM
```

America vs. Jose Gomez Quiroz, verdict form. Count 1, we the jury find that defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz is guilty of the offense charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

2.2

Count 2, we the jury find that defendant Jose Gomez Quiroz is guilty of the offense charged in Count 2 of the indictment. Signed today's date, foreperson of the jury.

THE COURT: You all may have a seat. Thank you. Mr. Meredith, does the Defense wish the Court to poll the jury?

MR. MEREDITH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we thank you for your service. You're released from your oath now. That means you can talk to anybody you want to about the case. That also means you don't have to talk to anybody if you don't want to at all. It's completely up to you. We can't do these without you, and we are very appreciative of you being here.

I want to speak with you privately just for a few minutes. I've got about two minutes worth of work to do with the lawyers before I come back and join you in the jury room. If you all would retire one last time, I promise I won't take long and I won't keep you long.

Thank you very much for your service. I'll see you in just a few minutes. Let's rise for the jury as they retire for the last time.

(Jury excused at 2:28 p.m.)

THE COURT: Let's be seated, please. So, Mr. Quiroz, I'm going to refer your case now since there has been a guilty verdict returned in Counts 1 and 2, I'm going to refer your case to the U.S. Probation Office for the preparation of a presentence investigation report.

2.2

Mr. Meredith is going to guide you through that process. Mr. Meredith then will receive a copy of the report well before your sentencing hearing. He'll go over it carefully, and he'll go over it with you. He'll file objections if there are objections he's able to file on your behalf. The Government has the same right.

If there are any objections that remain outstanding at the time of sentencing, then we'll take those up at your sentencing hearing. Mr. Meredith will also speak on your behalf at your sentencing hearing. You have the right and will have the opportunity to speak before I sentence you as well. I'm going to set your sentencing for September 26th at 8:00 a.m. in Alpine.

Mr. Ellis, anything further from the Government today?

MR. ELLIS: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Meredith, anything further on behalf of the defense?

MR. MEREDITH: Just to be overly safe, Judge, I would object to the Court's denial of the objection on the admission of exhibits. And I'm going to object all the way to the denial of the motion to dismiss in case that has any bearing on future

aspects of the case. THE COURT: Thank you all. Mr. Quiroz, I remand you to the custody of the United States Marshals. I'll see you at sentencing, sir. Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 2:30 p.m.) ---000---CERTIFICATE I, DIPTI PATEL, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Dipti Patel DIPTI PATEL, CET-997 LIBERTY TRANSCRIPTS Date: October 7, 2022 

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM